arXiv:1404.2390v1 [math.DG] 9 Apr 2014

STABILITY OF NON COMPACT STEADY AND EXPANDING GRADIENT RICCI SOLITONS

ALIX DERUELLE

Abstract. We study the stability of non compact steady and expanding gradient Ricci solitons. We first show that linear stability implies dynamical stability. Then we give various sufficient geometric conditions ensuring the linear stability of such gradient Ricci solitons.

1. Introduction

Once a smooth manifold M without boundary is fixed, the Ricci flow, introduced by Hamilton, can be considered as a dynamical system on the space of metrics of M modulo the action of diffeomorphisms and homotheties. The fixed points of such dynamical system are called *Ricci solitons*. By definition, these solutions look like $\tau(t)\phi_t^* g$ where $\tau(t)$ is a positive scaling factor, where $(\phi_t)_t$ is a one parameter family of diffeomorphisms and g is a fixed metric. If this family of diffeomorphisms is generated by a gradient vector field, we call these fixed points *gradient* Ricci solitons. Finally, if one plugs this family of metrics into the Ricci flow equation and evaluates this expression at a fixed time, one gets a static equation.

More precisely, a gradient Ricci soliton is a triplet $(M, g, \nabla f)$ where (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold and $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function (called the potential function) satisfying

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{L}_{\nabla f}(g) = \mathrm{Ric}(g) + \frac{\epsilon g}{2},$$

where $\epsilon \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. We will focus on the steady ($\epsilon = 0$) and the expanding ($\epsilon = 1$) cases. We assume that (M, g) is complete, this suffices to ensure the completeness of the vector field ∇f : [Zha08].

Let us fix a gradient Ricci soliton $(M, g_0, \nabla^{g_0} f_0)$. Define $X^0 := \nabla^{g_0} f_0$. In this paper, we study the stability of such gradient Ricci soliton under Ricci flow, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t g = -2\,\mathrm{Ric}(g(t)) \quad \text{on} \quad M \times (0, +\infty), \\ g(0) = g_0 + h. \end{cases}$$

As we want to prove convergence to the fixed background metric g_0, it is more convenient to consider the following modified (ϵ-)Ricci flow (MRF) :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t g = -2\,\mathrm{Ric}(g(t)) - \epsilon g(t) + \mathscr{L}_{X^0}(g(t)) \quad \text{on} \quad M \times (0, +\infty), \\ g(0) = g_0 + h. \end{cases}$$

As a first remark, the (MRF) flow is equivalent with the usual Ricci flow : see lemma A.5. Secondly, there is a vast amount of literature concerning the stability of Einstein metrics (constant potential function) under the Ricci flow both on compact and non compact manifolds. Rather than making an exhaustive list of the existing work, we prefer to discuss the differences between our approach and the other approaches shared by different works. For instance, in the compact Ricci-flat case [HM13] and the shrinking gradient Ricci soliton case

[Ach12], [Kro14], the authors consider the previous modified Ricci flow with $\nabla^{g(t)} f(t)$ instead of the fixed vector field $\nabla^0 f_0$ where $f(t)$ is a smooth function depending on time implicitly produced by a minimizing procedure involving the relevant functional (Perelman's energy or entropy). As we consider non Einstein steady and expanding Ricci solitons, they are necessarily non compact : in that setting, the corresponding functionals are not even defined.

For that reason, we decide to follow the strategy of [SSS11] on the stability of non compact Hyperbolic spaces. In the spirit of the so called DeTurck's trick, as the (MRF) is a degenerate parabolic equation, we first need to consider the following modified (ϵ-)Ricci harmonic map heat flow (MRHF) :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t g = -2\operatorname{Ric}(g(t)) - \epsilon g(t) + \mathscr{L}_{X^0}(g(t)) + \mathscr{L}_V(g(t)) \quad \text{on} \quad M \times (0, +\infty) \\ g(0) = g_0 + h \end{cases}$$

where V is a vector field defined in coordinates by : $V_i := g_{ik}(\Gamma(g)^k_{rs} - \Gamma(g_0)^k_{rs})g^{rs}$, or globally,

$$V(g) := \operatorname{div}_{g_0} g - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^0 \operatorname{tr}_{g_0} g. \tag{1}$$

As in [SSS11], we consider perturbations that are close in the L^∞ norm to the fixed background gradient Ricci soliton. In order to state our first result, we need (to recall) several definitions.

Definition 1.1.
- *The weighted laplacian operator associated to a gradient Ricci soliton $(M, g, \nabla f)$ is $\Delta_f := \Delta + \nabla f$.*

- *The weighted Lichnerowicz operator associated to a gradient Ricci soliton $(M, g, \nabla f)$ is $L := -\Delta_f - 2\operatorname{Rm}(g)*$, where $(\operatorname{Rm}(g) * h)_{ij} := \operatorname{Rm}(g)_{iklj}h_{kl}$, for a symmetric 2-tensor h.*

- *A gradient Ricci soliton $(M, g, \nabla f)$ is strictly stable if there exists a positive λ such that*
$$\int_M \langle Lh, h\rangle d\mu_f = \int_M |\nabla h|^2 - \langle 2\operatorname{Rm}(g) * h, h\rangle d\mu_f \geq \lambda \int_M |h|^2 d\mu_f,$$
for any symmetric 2-tensors h with compact support, where $d\mu_f := e^f d\mu(g)$, $d\mu(g)$ being the Riemannian measure associated to the metric g.

This notion of stability is legitimated by the fact that the linearization of the (MRHF) is exactly the Lichnerowicz operator. Finally, we will consider the following convexity assumptions on the geometry of the fixed background gradient Ricci soliton (called under the same name $(\mathscr{H})$) :

- If $(M, g, \nabla f)$ is a steady gradient Ricci soliton then $(\mathscr{H})$ consists in
$$\operatorname{Ric} \geq 0, \quad \lim_{+\infty} R = 0 \quad \sup_M |\operatorname{Rm}(g)| < +\infty.$$
- If $(M, g, \nabla f)$ is an expanding gradient Ricci soliton then $(\mathscr{H})$ consists in
$$\sup_M |\operatorname{Rm}(g)| < +\infty, \quad \liminf_{x \to +\infty} \frac{f(x)}{r_p(x)^2} > 0.$$

Our main theorem is

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(M, g_0, \nabla^0 f_0)$ be a strictly stable expanding or steady gradient Ricci soliton satisfying $(\mathscr{H})$. Then it is dynamically stable.*

More precisely, if g is a smooth metric such that

$$\|g - g_0\|_{L^2_{f_0}} := \left(\int_M |g - g_0|^2_{g_0} d\mu_{f_0}\right)^{1/2} =: I$$

is finite, there exists $\epsilon := \epsilon(n, k_0, \lambda, I) > 0$ such that the following holds, where $k_0 := \sup_M |\operatorname{Rm}(g_0)|$. If g satisfies $\|g - g_0\|_\infty \leq \epsilon$, then there exists an immortal solution to the Ricci harmonic map heat flow converging exponentially fast to g_0 in any C^k norm for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then, we are able to go back to the (MRF) :

Theorem 1.3. *Let $(g(t))_{t\in[0,+\infty)}$ be the solution to (MRHF) obtained in theorem 1.2. Then there exists a one parameter family of diffeomorphisms $(\psi_t)_{t\in[0,+\infty)}$ of M that satisfies $\psi_0 = Id_M$ and such that $(\psi_t^* g(t))_{t\in[0,+\infty)}$ is a smooth solution to (MRF) with the same initial condition. Moreover, there exists a diffeomorphism ψ_∞ of M such that*

$$\psi_t \to \psi_\infty, \quad \psi_t^* g(t) \to \psi_\infty^* g_0,$$

as t tends to $+\infty$, where the convergence is in (global) C^k norms, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 1.4. *If one is interested in weighted $L^p_{f_0}$ estimates with $p \geq 2$, one can actually prove theorem 1.2 in this setting in the case of gradient steady Ricci solitons. In fact, such approach has been investigated by Bamler* [Bam10] *for symmetric spaces : the main tools coming from harmonic analysis are the Hardy-Littlewood maximal local operator together with the Hardy-Littlewood maximal inequality which holds for weights as in the case of gradient steady Ricci solitons, since the potential function has bounded mean oscillation. Nonetheless, this approach is not applicable in the case of expanding gradient Ricci solitons because the potential function has not bounded mean oscillation...*

In a second part, we investigate sufficient geometric assumptions that imply λ-stability, the assumption $(\mathscr{H})$ being quite reasonable to assume.

We consider positively curved gradient Ricci solitons first. This choice is actually made with respect to the existing examples. Before stating the results, we describe the strategy to prove λ-stability. This is nothing more than proving that the bottom of the spectrum of the Lichnerowicz operator acting on symmetric two tensors that are square integrable with respect to the weighted measure $d\mu_f$ is positive. It is well-known that the spectrum can be decomposed into two parts : the essential spectrum and the discrete spectrum. We first analyse the essential spectrum by studying the bottom of the spectrum of the weighted laplacian acting on square integrable (in the weighted sense) functions (propositions 6.4 and 6.10). Then we investigate the non existence of non trivial eigenfunctions of L associated to a non positive eigenvalue by proving a priori exponential decay in the spirit of Agmon [Agm82] and Simon [Sim75] : theorems 6.9 and 6.14.

- Concerning gradient Ricci steady solitons, the only known one with positive curvature is the Bryant soliton in dimension greater than 2. It behaves like a paraboloid. We are able to prove the following :

Theorem 1.5. *Let $(M, g, \nabla f)$ be a non flat steady gradient Ricci soliton with non negative curvature operator with*

$$\lim_{+\infty} R = 0 \quad ; \quad \liminf_{t\to+\infty} e^{\alpha t} \inf_{f=t} \mathrm{Ric} > 0,$$

for some $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. Then the bottom of the spectrum of L is positive.

Remark 1.6. *Note that the curvature of the cigar soliton on $\mathbb{R}^2$, discovered by Hamilton, decays precisely as e^{-f}. Moreover, the author has shown that this decay is essentially sharp among nonnegatively curved steady gradient Ricci solitons* [Der12]. *In that sense, the previous theorem can be seen as a gap theorem in terms of curvature decay. Nonetheless, even if theorem 1.5 discards the cigar soliton, Ma and Witt have proved its stability* [MW11a] *using the special structure of the Ricci flow in dimension* 2.

We observe that this curvature condition is satisfied by the Bryant soliton too since in this case, $\liminf_{t\to+\infty} t^2 \inf_{f=t} \mathrm{Ric} > 0$. Hence,

Corollary 1.7. *The Bryant soliton is dynamically stable.*

- Concerning expanding gradient Ricci solitons, Bryant, in unpublished notes, has also built a one parameter family of rotational symmetric examples on $\mathbb{R}^n$ for $n \geq 3$ [Section 5, Chap. 1, [CCG+07]] asymptotic to the cone $(C(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}), dr^2 + (cr)^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}})$ with $c \in (0, +\infty)$. These examples have positive curvature if and only if $c \in (0, 1)$. In that setting, we are able to prove the following :

  Theorem 1.8. *Let $(M, g, \nabla f)$ be an expanding gradient Ricci soliton with non negative curvature operator. Then the bottom of the spectrum of L is positive. In particular, it is dynamically stable.*

  In dimension 3, we are able to only assume positive scalar curvature thanks to an estimate due to Anderson and Chow [AC05]. More precisely,

  Theorem 1.9. *Let $(M, g, \nabla f)$ be a 3-dimensional expanding gradient Ricci soliton satisfying $(\mathscr{H})$ with positive scalar curvature with*

$$\liminf_{x\to+\infty} e^{\alpha f(x)} R(x) > 0,$$

  for some $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. Then the bottom of the spectrum of L is positive. In particular, it is dynamically stable.

Remark 1.10. *Chih-Wei Chen and the author proved that all expanding gradient Ricci solitons with quadratic curvature decay are asymptotically conical : the asymptotic cone is a metric cone whose section is a smooth compact manifold endowed with a $C^{1,\alpha}$ metric. See* [CD11] *for more details. For instance, the assumption of theorem 1.9 on the asymptotical behaviour of the scalar curvature is satisfied if the section of the asymptotic cone is a smooth positively curved sphere with curvature greater than* 1 *: in that case, $\liminf_{x\to+\infty} r_p^2(x)R(x)$ is the minimum of the scalar curvature restricted to the section which is positive.*

Remark 1.11. *According to Siepmann* [Sie13], *the condition on the decay of the curvature in theorem 1.9 is essentially sharp. Indeed, Siepmann proved that the Ricci curvature decay as $e^{-\alpha f}$ for any $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ in case the asymptotic cone of an expanding gradient Ricci soliton is Ricci flat.*

What about the stability of the Bryant expanding gradient Ricci solitons with negative curvature, i.e. the ones whose asymptotic cones are $(C(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}), dr^2 + (cr)^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}})$ with $c \in (1, +\infty)$?

Actually, it seems that the stability of expanders is intimately related to rigidity : Chodosh [Cho13] proved that any positively curved expanding gradient Ricci solitons asymptotic to $(C(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}), dr^2 + (cr)^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}})$ with $c \in (0, 1)$ are rotationally symmetric and theorem 1.8 implies their stability. The principle ingredient here is the maximum principle for symmetric 2-tensors due to Hamilton : this does not hold in case of negative curvature. Nonetheless, we are able to prove that if the angle c is close enough to 1, then it is stable, the tool employed here is a Bochner method which can be seen as the counterpart of pointwise estimates due to maximum principles. There is no doubt that this method leads to rigidity as well : [Der14].

Theorem 1.12. *Let $(M, g, \nabla f)$ be an expanding gradient Ricci soliton satisfying $(\mathscr{H})$ such that*

$$\inf_M R + \frac{n}{2} - 2\| \operatorname{Rm}(g)\|_{L^\infty} > 0.$$

Then the bottom of the spectrum of L is positive. In particular, it is dynamically stable.

Remark 1.13. *Note that this condition is satisfied if the curvature operator is bounded by a sufficiently small constant, only depending on the dimension n and this is not a vacuous condition since Ricci expanders are normalized by their very definition. This is in sharp contrast with the shrinking case : Munteanu and Wang* [MW11c] *have shown that if the Ricci curvature of a shrinking gradient Ricci soliton is small enough then it is isometric to Euclidean space.*

Remark 1.14. *One can ask if there is a finite threshold depending on the angle $c > 1$ of the Bryant expanding gradient Ricci solitons concerning their rigidity/stability ?*

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Gilles Carron for his comments on a first draft. This paper benefited from numerous conversations with Peter Topping, Panagiotis Gianniotis and Felix Schulze.

The author is supported by the EPSRC on a Programme Grant entitled 'Singularities of Geometric Partial Differential Equations' (reference number EP/K00865X/1).

2. The linear case

This section can be skipped for a first reading. This section will not be used for the sequel. The purpose of this section is to give some feeling to the reader with these flows. We begin with a general computation concerning the evolution of the weighted divergence of a solution to the linearized case of (MRHF).

Theorem 2.1. *Let $(M, g, \nabla f)$ be a gradient Ricci soliton, i.e.*

$$\operatorname{Ric} + \frac{\epsilon g}{2} = \nabla^2 f,$$

with $\epsilon \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Let h be a symmetric 2-tensor satisfying the heat equation $\partial_t h = \Delta_f h + 2 \operatorname{Rm} * h$. Then the vector field $\operatorname{div}_f(h)$ satisfies

$$\left(\partial_t - \Delta_f + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)(\operatorname{div}_f(h)) = 0.$$

Proof. First, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t(h(\nabla f))_i &= (\partial_t h_{ik})\nabla_k f = \Delta_f h_{ik}\nabla_k f + 2(\mathrm{Rm}*h)_{ik}\nabla_k f \\
&= \Delta(h_{ik}\nabla_k f) + \nabla_j h_{ik}\nabla_k f\nabla_j f + 2(\mathrm{Rm}*h)_{ik}\nabla_k f \\
&\quad -2\nabla_l h_{ik}\nabla_l\nabla_k f - h_{ik}\Delta(\nabla_k f) \\
&= \Delta(h(\nabla f)_i) + \nabla_j(h(\nabla f)_i)\nabla_j f - h_{ik}\nabla_j\nabla_k f\nabla_j f + 2(\mathrm{Rm}*h)_{ik}\nabla_k f \\
&\quad -2\nabla_l h_{ik}\nabla_l\nabla_k f - h_{ik}\Delta(\nabla_k f) \\
&= \Delta_f(h(\nabla f)_i) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}h(\nabla f)_i - 2h(\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla f))_i + 2(\mathrm{Rm}*h)_{ik}\nabla_k f \\
&\quad -2\nabla_l h_{ik}\nabla_l\nabla_k f - h_{ik}(\nabla_k\Delta f + \mathrm{Ric}(\nabla f)_k) \\
&= \Delta_f(h(\nabla f)_i) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}h(\nabla f)_i - 2h(\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla f))_i + 2(\mathrm{Rm}*h)_{ik}\nabla_k f \\
&\quad -\epsilon\,\mathrm{div}\,h - 2\nabla_l h_{ik}\,\mathrm{Ric}_{lk} - h(\nabla R)_i,
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the soliton identities A.1,

$$\partial_t(h(\nabla f)) = \Delta_f(h(\nabla f)) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}h(\nabla f) + 2(\mathrm{Rm}*h)(\nabla f) - \epsilon\,\mathrm{div}\,h - 2\nabla h * \mathrm{Ric},$$

where $(\mathrm{Rm}*h)(\nabla f)_i := \mathrm{Rm}_{ijlk}\,h_{jl}\nabla_k f$ and $(\nabla h * \mathrm{Ric})_i = \nabla_l h_{ik}\,\mathrm{Ric}_{lk}$.

Now,

$$\partial_t\,\mathrm{div}\,h = \mathrm{div}(\Delta_f h + 2\,\mathrm{Rm}*h).$$

First of all,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{div}(\nabla_{\nabla f}h) &= \nabla_i(\nabla_k f\nabla_k h_{\cdot i}) = \nabla_i\nabla_k f\nabla_k h_{\cdot i} + \nabla_k f\nabla_i\nabla_k h_{\cdot i} \\
&= \frac{\epsilon\,\mathrm{div}\,h}{2} + \mathrm{Ric}_{ik}\,\nabla_k h_{\cdot i} + \nabla_{\nabla f}(\mathrm{div}\,h) - \nabla_k f(\mathrm{Rm}^p_{ik\cdot}\,h_{pi} + \mathrm{Rm}^p_{iki}\,h_{p\cdot}) \\
&= \frac{\epsilon\,\mathrm{div}\,h}{2} + \mathrm{Ric}*\nabla h + \nabla_{\nabla f}(\mathrm{div}\,h) + h(\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla f)) - (\mathrm{Rm}*h)(\nabla f)
\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{div}\,\Delta h &= \nabla_i\nabla_k\nabla_k h_{\cdot i} = \Delta(\mathrm{div}\,h) - \nabla_k(\mathrm{Rm}^p_{ik\cdot}\,h_{pi} + \mathrm{Rm}^p_{iki}\,h_{p\cdot}) + [\nabla_i,\nabla_k]\nabla_k h_{\cdot i} \\
&= \Delta(\mathrm{div}\,h) + \nabla_k(\mathrm{Ric}_{kp}\,h_{p\cdot} - (\mathrm{Rm}*h)_{k\cdot}) + [\nabla_i,\nabla_k]\nabla_k h_{\cdot i} \\
&= \Delta(\mathrm{div}\,h) + h(\mathrm{div}\,\mathrm{Ric}) + \mathrm{Ric}*\nabla h - \mathrm{div}(\mathrm{Rm}*h) + [\nabla_i,\nabla_k]\nabla_k h_{\cdot i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
[\nabla_i,\nabla_k]\nabla_k h_{\cdot i} &= -\mathrm{Rm}^p_{ikk}\,\nabla_p h_{\cdot i} - \mathrm{Rm}^p_{iki}\,\nabla_k h_{\cdot p} - \mathrm{Rm}^p_{ik\cdot}\,\nabla_k h_{pi} \\
&= -\mathrm{Ric}_{ip}\,\nabla_p h_{\cdot i} + \mathrm{Ric}_{kp}\,\nabla_k h_{\cdot p} - \mathrm{Rm}^p_{ik\cdot}\,\nabla_k h_{pi} \\
&= -\mathrm{Rm}^p_{ik\cdot}\,\nabla_k h_{pi},
\end{aligned}$$

and, by the soliton identities,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{div}(\mathrm{Rm}*h) &= \nabla_i(\mathrm{Rm}_{\cdot kli}\,h_{kl}) = \mathrm{div}(\mathrm{Rm})_{\cdot kl}h_{kl} + \mathrm{Rm}_{\cdot kli}\,\nabla_i h_{kl} \\
&= \mathrm{Rm}_{\cdot kjl}\,\nabla_j f h_{kl} + \mathrm{Rm}_{\cdot kli}\,\nabla_i h_{kl} \\
&= -(\mathrm{Rm}*h)(\nabla f) + \mathrm{Rm}_{\cdot kli}\,\nabla_i h_{kl}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $[\nabla_i,\nabla_k]\nabla_k h_{\cdot i} = -\mathrm{Rm}^p_{ik\cdot}\,\nabla_k h_{pi}$.

Finally, again, by the soliton identities and the first Bianchi identity,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t(\operatorname{div}_f h) &= \Delta_f(\operatorname{div}_f h) - \frac{\epsilon \operatorname{div}_f h}{2} + h(\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Ric} + \operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f)) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{div}(\operatorname{Rm} * h) + [\nabla_i, \nabla_k]\nabla_k h_{\cdot i} + \operatorname{Rm} * h(\nabla f) \\ &= \Delta_f(\operatorname{div}_f h) - \frac{\epsilon \operatorname{div}_f h}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

□

With the help of the Gronwall lemma, one can prove the following :

Theorem 2.2. *Let $(M, g, \nabla f)$ be a gradient Ricci soliton, i.e.*

$$\operatorname{Ric} + \frac{\epsilon g}{2} = \nabla^2 f,$$

with $\epsilon \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ which is strictly stable.

Let $(h_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$, with $T > 0$, be a one-parameter family of 2-symmetric tensor satisfying the heat equation $\partial_t h_t = \Delta_f h_t + 2\operatorname{Rm} * h_t$ such that, for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$h_t \in L^2_f \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{div}_f h_0 \in L^2_f.$$

Then h_t and the vector field $\operatorname{div}_f h_t$ satisfy for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\operatorname{div}_f h_t\|^2_{L^2_f} &\leq e^{-\epsilon t}\|\operatorname{div}_f h_0\|^2_{L^2_f} \\ \|h_t\|^2_{L^2_f} &\leq \left(\|h_0\|^2_{L^2_f} + \|\operatorname{div}_f h_0\|^2_{L^2_f}\int_0^t e^{2(\lambda-\epsilon)u}du\right)e^{-2\lambda t}\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.3. *It seems that, in the case of the (MRHF) in the expanding case, the L^2_f-decay of $\operatorname{div}_{f_0} h_t$ is independent of λ. Nonetheless, the author was not able to prove it in general.*

3. Existence

Fix now once for all a background gradient Ricci soliton $(M, g_0, \nabla^0 f_0)$ satisfying $(\mathscr{H})$.

We begin by investigating the C^0-evolution of the difference $g(t) - g_0$:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $g(\cdot)$ be a solution to the Ricci harmonic map heat flow on $(0, T)$ which is ϵ-close to g_0. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|h|^2 &\leq g^{-1} * \nabla^0\nabla^0|h|^2 + X^0 \cdot |h|^2 - 2(1-\epsilon)|\nabla^0 h|^2 \\ &\quad + 4\operatorname{Rm}(g_0)(h,h) + 4\epsilon|\operatorname{Rm}(g_0)(h,h)|,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&h := g - g_0, \\ &g^{-1} * \nabla^0\nabla^0|h|^2 := g^{ij}\nabla^0_i\nabla^0_j|h|^2, \\ &\operatorname{Rm}(g_0)(h,h) := \operatorname{Rm}(g_0)_{iklj}h_{kl}h_{ij}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We do the proof in the case of an expanding gradient Ricci soliton ($\epsilon = 1$). According to Shi [Shi89],

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g_{ij} &= g^{ab}\nabla^0_a\nabla^0_b g_{ij} - g^{kl}g_{ip}\,\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)_{jklp} - g^{kl}g_{jp}\,\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)_{iklp}\\ &\quad +(\nabla^0 g * \nabla^0 g)_{ij} + \mathscr{L}_{X^0}(g)_{ij} - g_{ij},\end{aligned}$$

where, if A and B are two tensors, $A * B$ means some linear combination of contractions of $A \otimes B$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|h|^2 &= 2\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial t}g, h\right\rangle\\ &= 2\langle g^{-1} * \nabla^0\nabla^0 g - \mathrm{Rm}(g_0) * g^{-1} * g, h\rangle\\ &\quad -2\langle g - \mathscr{L}_{X^0}(g), h\rangle + \langle \nabla^0 g * \nabla^0 g, h\rangle\\ &\leq g^{-1} * \nabla^0\nabla^0|h|^2 - 2(1-\epsilon)|\nabla^0 h|^2\\ &\quad -2\langle g - \mathscr{L}_{X^0}(g), h\rangle - 2\langle \mathrm{Rm}(g_0) * g^{-1} * g, h\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where $(\mathrm{Rm}(g_0) * g^{-1} * g)_{ij} := g^{kl}g_{ip}\,\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)_{jklp} + g^{kl}g_{jp}\,\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)_{iklp}$. Now, using the soliton equation satisfied by g_0,

$$\langle g - \mathscr{L}_{X^0}(g), h\rangle = |h|^2 - \langle \mathscr{L}_{X^0}(h), h\rangle - 2\langle \mathrm{Ric}(g_0), h\rangle.$$

Moreover, as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{L}_{X^0}(h) &= \nabla^0_{X^0}h + \frac{1}{2}(\mathscr{L}_{X^0}(g_0)\otimes h + h\otimes \mathscr{L}_{X^0}(g_0)),\\ &= \nabla^0_{X^0}h + h + \mathrm{Ric}(g_0)\otimes h + h\otimes \mathrm{Ric}(g_0),\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$2\langle g - \mathscr{L}_{X^0}(g), h\rangle = -X^0\cdot|h|^2 - 4\langle \mathrm{Ric}(g_0)\otimes h, h\rangle - 4\langle \mathrm{Ric}(g_0), h\rangle.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|h|^2 &\leq g^{-1} * \nabla^0\nabla^0|h|^2 - 2(1-\epsilon)|\nabla^0 h|^2 - 2\langle \mathrm{Rm}(g_0) * g^{-1} * g, h\rangle\\ &\quad +4\langle \mathrm{Ric}(g_0), h\rangle + X^0\cdot|h|^2 + 2\langle \mathscr{L}_{X^0}(g_0)\otimes h, h\rangle\\ &\leq g^{-1} * \nabla^0\nabla^0|h|^2 + X^0\cdot|h|^2 - 2(1-\epsilon)|\nabla^0 h|^2\\ &\quad +4\langle \mathrm{Ric}(g_0)\otimes h, h\rangle + 4\langle \mathrm{Ric}(g_0), h\rangle - 2\langle \mathrm{Rm}(g_0) * g^{-1} * g, h\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, let us compute the curvature term in coordinates at a fixed point and a fixed time such that $(g_{0ij}) = (\delta_{ij})$ and $(g_{ij}) = (\lambda_i \delta_{ij})$ where λ_i are positive numbers.

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathrm{Rm}(g_0) * g^{-1} * g, h\rangle &= 2\,\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)_{ikki}\lambda_k^{-1}\lambda_i(\lambda_i - 1) \\
&= 2\,\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)_{ikki}(\lambda_k^{-1} - 1)\lambda_i(\lambda_i - 1) \\
&\quad +2\,\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)_{ikki}\lambda_i(\lambda_i - 1) \\
&= -2\,\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)(\lambda_k - 1)(\lambda_i - 1)\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_k} \\
&\quad +2\,\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)_{ikki}(\lambda_i - 1)^2 + 2\,\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)_{ikki}(\lambda_i - 1) \\
&= -2\,\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)(h,h) + \mathrm{Rm}(g_0) * h^{*3} \\
&\quad +2\langle \mathrm{Ric}(g_0) \otimes h, h\rangle + 2\langle \mathrm{Ric}(g_0), h\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing it up, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|h|^2 &\leq g^{-1} * \nabla^0\nabla^0|h|^2 + X^0 \cdot |h|^2 \\
&\quad -2(1-\epsilon)|\nabla^0 h|^2 + 4\,\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)(h,h) + 4\epsilon|\,\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)(h,h)|.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We use this previous differential inequality to solve Dirichlet problems of (MRHF) on geodesic balls of (M, g_0).

Corollary 3.2. *Let $(g(t))_{t\in[0,T)}$ with $0 < T < \infty$, be a solution of (MRHF) on $B_{g_0}(p,R)$ with*

$$g(t)\,|_{\partial B_{g_0}(p,R)} = g_0\,|_{\partial B_{g_0}(p,R)}\,.$$

Let δ be a positive number. Then there exists $\epsilon = \epsilon(n, T, \delta, k_0)) > 0$ such that

$$\|g(0) - g_0\|_{L^\infty(B_{g_0}(p,R))} \leq \epsilon$$

implies

$$\|g(t) - g_0\|_{L^\infty(B_{g_0}(p,R)\times[0,T))} \leq \delta.$$

Proof. This is exactly lemma 2.3 of [SSS11]. □

Now, if we manage to prove a priori that our solution is sufficiently close to the background metric g_0, this solution will exist for all time. This is the content of the following theorem which is word for word (its content and the proof) theorem 2.4 of [SSS11].

Definition 3.3. *A solution to (MRHF) $(g(t))_{t\in[0,T)}$ is δ-**maximal** if either T is infinite and $(g(t))_{t\in[0,+\infty)}$ is δ-close to g_0 either we can extend the solution on $M \times [0, T+\tau)$ with $\tau = \tau(n, \sup_M |\,\mathrm{Rm}(g)|)$ positive and $\|g(T) - g_0\|_{L^\infty(M)} = \delta$.*

Theorem 3.4. *There exists a positive constant $\tilde{\delta} = \tilde{\delta}(n, k_0)$ such that the following holds. Let $0 < \epsilon < \delta \leq \tilde{\delta}$. Then every metric $g(0)$ ϵ-close to g_0 has a δ-maximal solution $g(t)_{t\in[0,T(g_0))}$ with $T(g_0)$ positive which is δ-close for all $t \in [0, T(g_0))$.*

With the help of the a priori estimates of corollary 3.2, a useful corollary for the sequel consists in the following :

Corollary 3.5. *With the same assumptions as in theorem 3.4, if $T > 0$ is given, and if $\epsilon(n, T, \delta, k_0)$ is small enough, then the solution $(g(t))_{t\in[0,T_{g_0}+\tau)}$ satisfies $T_{g_0} \geq T$.*

4. Convergence

Again, consider a background gradient Ricci soliton $(M, g_0, \nabla^0 f_0)$ satisfying $(\mathscr{H})$.
If $(g(t))_{t\in[0,T)}$ is close enough to g_0, we consider the truncated $L^2_{f_0}$ norm of the difference $h(t) := g(t) - g_0$ as a possible Lyapunov function as in [SSS11].

Proposition 4.1. *Assume $(M, g_0, \nabla^0 f_0)$ is strictly stable. Let $(g(t))_{t\in[0,T)}$ be a solution to (MRHF) on $B_{g_0}(p, R)$ with $g(t) = g_0$ on $S_{g_0}(p, R)\times[0, T)$. Assume that $\|g-g_0\|_{L^\infty(B_{g_0}(p,R)\times[0,T))} \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon(\lambda, k_0)$. Then we have*

$$\int_{B_{g_0}(p,R)} |g(t) - g_0|^2 d\mu_{f_0} \leq e^{-\tilde{\lambda}t} \int_{B_{g_0}(p,R)} |g(0) - g_0|^2 d\mu_{f_0},$$

where $\tilde{\lambda} = \tilde{\lambda}(\epsilon) < 2\lambda$ such that $\lim_{\epsilon\to+\infty} \tilde{\lambda}(\epsilon) = 2\lambda$.

Proof. These estimates follow by integrating the pointwise estimates of lemma 3.1 and by using integration by parts :

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{B_{g_0}(p,R)} |h|^2 d\mu_{f_0} \leq \int_{B_{g_0}(p,R)} g^{-1} * \nabla^0\nabla^0|h|^2 + X^0 \cdot |h|^2 d\mu_{f_0}\\
&+\int_{B_{g_0}(p,R)} -2(1-\epsilon)|\nabla^0 h|^2 + 4\,\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)(h,h) + 4\epsilon|\,\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)(h,h)|d\mu_{f_0}\\
&\leq \int_{B_{g_0}(p,R)} \Delta_{f_0}|h|^2 + \left(g^{-1} - g_0^{-1}\right) * \nabla^0\nabla^0|h|^2 d\mu_{f_0}\\
&\int_{B_{g_0}(p,R)} -2(1-\epsilon)|\nabla^0 h|^2 + 4\,\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)(h,h) + 4\epsilon|\,\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)(h,h)|d\mu_{f_0}\\
&\leq -2(1-\epsilon)\int_{B_{g_0}(p,R)} |\nabla^0 h|^2 - 2\,\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)(h,h) d\mu_{f_0}\\
&+c_0\epsilon\int_{B_{g_0}(p,R)} |h|^2 d\mu_{f_0} - \int_{B_{g_0}(p,R)} \langle \mathrm{div}_{f_0}(g^{-1}), \nabla^0|h|^2\rangle d\mu_{f_0},
\end{aligned}$$

where $c_0 = c(k_0)$. To get rid of the divergence term, as the vector field $\nabla^0 f_0$ is not bounded, we cannot simply bound this term by the norm of the covariant derivative of h. Therefore, we need the following identity inspired by the work of Koiso [Koi82] :

$$\begin{aligned}
2 < \nabla^0 T, \nabla^0 T >_{L^2_{f_0}} &= < \mathrm{Cod}(T), \mathrm{Cod}(T) >_{L^2_{f_0}} + 2 < \mathrm{div}_{f_0}(T), \mathrm{div}_{f_0}(T) >_{L^2_{f_0}}\\
&\quad -2 < \mathrm{Ric}_{f_0}(T), T >_{L^2_{f_0}} + 2 < \mathrm{Rm}(g_0) * T, T >_{L^2_{f_0}}\\
&= \|\,\mathrm{Cod}(T)\|^2_{L^2_{f_0}} + 2\|\,\mathrm{div}_{f_0}(T)\|^2_{L^2_{f_0}}\\
&\quad + \|T\|^2_{L^2_{f_0}} + 2 < \mathrm{Rm}(g_0) * T, T >_{L^2_{f_0}},
\end{aligned}$$

for any $T \in C^\infty_0(M, S^2T^*M)$ where $\mathrm{Cod}(T)_{ijk} := \nabla^0_i T_{jk} - \nabla^0_j T_{ik}$ is the Codazzi tensor associated to T. Hence the $L^2_{f_0}$-norm of the divergence of T is bounded by the $L^2_{f_0}$-norm of T and $\nabla^0 T$. Therefore, by applying the Young inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{B_{g_0}(p,R)}|h|^2 d\mu_{f_0} &\leq -(2-c_0\epsilon)\int_{B_{g_0}(p,R)}|\nabla^0 h|^2 - 2\,\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)(h,h)d\mu_{f_0}\\ &\quad +c_0\epsilon\int_{B_{g_0}(p,R)}|h|^2 d\mu_{f_0}.\end{aligned}$$

Now, as $(M, g_0, \nabla^0 f_0)$ is strictly stable, and if ϵ is small enough, we get :

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{B_{g_0}(p,R)}|h|^2 d\mu_{f_0} \leq -\left((2-c_0\epsilon)\lambda - c_0\epsilon\right)\int_{B_{g_0}(p,R)}|h|^2 d\mu_{f_0},$$

with $(2-c_0\epsilon)\lambda - c_0\epsilon > 0$.

□

Since we are building a solution with the help of Dirichlet exhaustions, the previous estimate extends to $M \times [0,T)$:

Corollary 4.2. *Assume $(M, g_0, \nabla^0 f_0)$ is strictly stable. Let $T > 0$. Assume $g(0) \in L^2_{f_0}(M, S^2T^*M)$. Then there exists $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(n, T, k_0, \lambda)$ such that if $g(0)$ is ϵ_0-close to g_0 then a solution to (MRHF) $(g(t))_{t\in[0,T)}$ on M exists, is ϵ-close with ϵ as in proposition 4.1 and satisfies*

$$\|g(t) - g_0\|^2_{L^2_{f_0}(M,S^2T^*M)} \leq e^{-\tilde{\lambda}t}\|g(0) - g_0\|^2_{L^2_{f_0}(M,S^2T^*M)},$$

for all $t \in [0,T)$ with $\tilde{\lambda}$ defined in proposition 4.1.

Such an exponential decay of the $L^2_{f_0}$-norm of $g(t) - g_0$ implies an exponential decay of the supremum norm of $g(t) - g_0$. Before stating this result, we need to uniformly control the covariant derivatives of $g(t)$ in time :

Lemma 4.3. *Let $(g(t))_{t\in[0,T)}$ be a solution of (MRHF) on M such that $g(t)$ is ϵ-close to g_0 for any $t \in [0,T)$ with $\epsilon \leq \epsilon(n, k_0)$. Then,*

$$\sup_M |\nabla^{0,j} g(t)| \leq \frac{c(n, j, k_0)}{t^j},$$

for all $t \in (0, \min\{1, T\}]$.

Proof. The proof is almost the same as the proof of theorem 4.3 in [Sim02]. The only thing that could cause trouble is the presence of the vector field $\nabla^0 f_0$ that is unbounded in the expanding case. But it turns out that it does not by considering adequate cut-off functions built with the help of the potential function. To convince the reader, we reproduce the proof for the first covariant derivative in the expanding case.

- First of all, by using commutation formula and identities on gradient Ricci solitons, one has on $M \times [0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t|\nabla^0 g|^2_{g_0} &\leq g^{-1} * \nabla^{0,2}|\nabla^0 g|^2_{g_0} + <\nabla^0 f_0, \nabla^0|\nabla^0 g|^2_{g_0}>\\ &\quad +c_0|\nabla^0 g|^2_{g_0} + c_0 + c(n)|\nabla^0 g|^4_{g_0},\end{aligned}$$

  where $c_0 = c(n, k_0)$.

- Secondly, define $\phi := a + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k^m(t)$ where $\lambda_k(t)$ are the eigenvalues of $g(t)$ and m is an integer. Adapting the computations of Shi [Shi89], one gets on $M \times [0,T]$,

$$\partial_t \phi \leq g^{-1} * \nabla^{0,2}\phi + < \nabla^0 f_0, \nabla^0 \phi > -\frac{\phi^2}{2} + c_0,$$

with suitable a and m depending on n and k_0.

- Hence, if $F(t,x) := t\phi(t,x)|\nabla^0 g|^2_{g_0}(t,x)$, we get

$$\partial_t F \leq g^{-1} * \nabla^{0,2} F + < \nabla^0 f_0, \nabla^0 F > -\frac{F^2}{2t} + c_0 t + \frac{F}{t},$$

on $M \times (0,T]$.

- Let $\eta : M \to [0,1]$ be a smooth compactly supported function on M defined by : $\eta(x) := \tilde{\eta}(\sqrt{f_0(x)}/r)$ with $r > 0$ and $\tilde{\eta} : [0, +\infty[\to [0,1]$ is a smooth compactly supported function such that

$$\tilde{\eta}|_{[0,1/2]} \equiv 1, \quad \tilde{\eta}|_{[1,+\infty[} \equiv 0, \quad \tilde{\eta}' \leq 0, \quad \frac{\tilde{\eta}'^2}{\tilde{\eta}} \leq c, \quad \tilde{\eta}'' \geq -c,$$

where c is a universal constant. Now,

$$\nabla^0 \eta = \frac{\tilde{\eta}'}{r} \nabla^0 \sqrt{f_0}, \quad \nabla^{2,0}\eta = \frac{\tilde{\eta}''}{r^2} \nabla^0 \sqrt{f_0} \otimes \nabla^0 \sqrt{f_0} + \frac{\tilde{\eta}'}{r} \nabla^{0,2} \sqrt{f_0}.$$

Therefore, by considering a point (t_0, x_0) where the function ηF attains its maximum on $M \times [0,T]$, using the relations

$$\nabla^0(\eta F)(t_0,x_0) = 0, \quad \partial_t(\eta F)(t_0,x_0) \geq 0, \quad g^{-1} * \nabla^{0,2}(\eta F)(t_0,x_0) \leq 0,$$

with the help of the previous differential inequality, one gets a polynomial of degree 2 in $(\eta F)(t_0,x_0)$ with coefficients depending on n, k_0, t, $1/r$ and $< \nabla^0 f_0, \nabla^0 \eta >$ which is bounded since f_0 grows at most quadratically on an expanding gradient Ricci soliton. Hence the result if we restrict time to be lower than $\min\{1, T\}$.

The higher covariant derivative estimates can be proved in a similar way : see lemma 4.2 and theorem 4.3 of [Sim02]. □

Now, we have

Theorem 4.4. *Assume $(M, g_0, \nabla^0 f_0)$ is strictly stable. Assume $(g(t))_{t\in[0,T)}$ is a solution to (MRHF) with*

$$\|g(0) - g_0\|_{L^2_{f_0}(M, S^2T^*M)} =: I < +\infty, \quad \|g(0) - g_0\|_{L^\infty(M, S^2T^*M)} \leq \epsilon,$$

with ϵ as in proposition 4.1. Then,

$$\|g(t) - g_0\|_{L^\infty(M, S^2T^*M)} \leq C(n, I, \lambda, k_0) \exp\left(-\frac{\tilde{\lambda} t}{n+2}\right),$$

for $t \in [0,T)$.

Proof. Such estimates clearly holds for some interval $[0,\tau]$ where $\tau = \tau(n,\epsilon)$. By the interior estimates given by lemma 4.3, there exists a constant $c = c(n,\lambda,k_0)$ such that

$$|\nabla^0 g(t)|_{g_0} \leq c, \tag{2}$$

for $t \in [\tau, T)$. Fix $t \in [\tau, +\infty)$ and consider $m(t) := \|g(t) - g_0\|_{L^\infty(M,S^2T^*M)}$. Choose a point $x(t) \in M$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2}\|g(t) - g_0\|_{L^\infty(M,S^2T^*M)} \leq \|g(t) - g_0\|_{g_0}(x(t)).$$

Because of the bound (2), we have

$$\frac{1}{4}\|g(t) - g_0\|_{L^\infty(M,S^2T^*M)} \leq \|g(t) - g_0\|_{g_0}(y),$$

for any $y \in B_{g_0}(x(t), m(t)/(4c))$. Therefore,

$$\mathrm{Vol}_{f_0} B_{g_0}(x(t), m(t)/(4c)) m(t)^2 \leq 4Ie^{-\tilde{\lambda}t}.$$

In the expanding case, f grows quadratically in the distance to a fixed point p in M by ($\mathscr{H}$). If $m(t)/4c \geq 1$, then, by the Bishop-Gromov theorem, if $\mathrm{Ric}_{g_0} \geq -(n-1)K_0$ on M,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Vol}_{f_0} B_{g_0}(x(t), m(t)/(4c)) \geq \mathrm{Vol}_{f_0} B_{g_0}(x(t), 1) \\
&\geq e^{f_0(x(t)) - \sqrt{f_0(x(t))} - c_0} \mathrm{Vol}_{g_0} B_{g_0}(x(t), 1) \\
&\geq e^{f_0(x(t)) - \sqrt{f_0(x(t))} - c_0} \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{-K_0} B_{-K_0}(0,1)}{\mathrm{Vol}_{-K_0} B_{-K_0}(0, r_p(x(t)) + 1)} \mathrm{Vol}_{g_0} B_{g_0}(p, 1) \\
&\geq c_0 > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where $c_0 = c(n, g_0)$, since the volume $\ln \mathrm{Vol}_{-K_0} B_{-K_0}(0, r_p(x(t)) + 1)$ grows at most linearly in the distance to a fixed point p in M.

If $m(t)/4c \leq 1$, then a similar argument shows that,

$$\mathrm{Vol}_{f_0} B_{g_0}(x(t), m(t)/(4c)) \geq c_0 (m(t))^n.$$

Finally, in any cases,

$$m(t) \leq c_0 I \exp\left(-\frac{\tilde{\lambda}t}{n+2}\right),$$

for any $t \in [\tau, T)$.

A similar argument works in the steady case with non negative Ricci curvature ($K_0 = 0$) with the help of lemma A.4.

□

We are now in a position to prove long time existence and exponential convergence to g_0 :

Theorem 4.5. *Assume $(M, g_0, \nabla^0 f_0)$ is strictly stable. For any $I > 0$, there exists $\epsilon(n, I, k_0, \lambda) > 0$ such that the following holds. If $g(0)$ satisfies*

$$\|g(0) - g_0\|_{L^2_{f_0}(M,S^2T^*M)} \leq I \quad \textit{and} \quad \|g(0) - g_0\|_{L^\infty(M,S^2T^*M)} \leq \epsilon,$$

then there exists a solution to (MRHF) such that

$$\|g(t) - g_0\|_{L^\infty(M,S^2T^*M)} \leq C(n, I, \lambda, k_0) \exp\left(-\frac{\tilde{\lambda}t}{2(n+2)}\right),$$

for any time t. Moreover, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|g(t) - g_0\|_{C^k(M,S^2T^*M)} \leq C(n,k,I,\lambda,k_0)e^{-\lambda_k t},$$

where $\lambda_k \in \left(0, \tilde{\lambda}/(n+2)\right)$ is arbitrary.

Proof. Again, this is exactly the proof of theorem 3.4 of [SSS11]. In view of theorem 3.4, the only thing to check is that this solution $(g(t))_{t\in[0,T)}$ to (MRHF) we built is $\tilde{\delta}$-close to g_0 for any time with the notations of theorem 3.4. If $T > 0$ is given, we can find some $\epsilon(n,T,k_0) > 0$ such that $(g(t))_{t\in[0,T]}$ is $\tilde{\delta}$-close to g_0. Now, if T is large enough, $g(t)$ remains $\tilde{\delta}$-close to g_0 for $t \geq T$ by theorem 4.4. Hence the existence of the solution for any time. The L^∞-estimate follows directly by theorem 4.4.

By standard L^∞-interpolation inequalities and interior estimates of lemma 4.3, one can prove the convergence of this solution to g_0 in the smooth sense. More precisely, one has to iterate the following inequality :

$$\|\nabla^0 T\|^2_{L^\infty(M)} \leq c_0\|T\|_{L^\infty(M)}(\|\nabla^{0,2}T\|_{L^\infty(M)} + \|\nabla^0 T\|_{L^\infty(M)})$$

for any tensor T.

□

5. Back to the Modified Ricci flow

We are able to go back to the (MRF) by pulling back the solution obtained in theorem 4.5 by an appropriate one-parameter family of diffeomorphisms of M.

Proof of theorem 1.3. Again, we follow the steps of the proof of theorem 4.1 of [SSS11].

- First, define $(\psi_t)_t$ to be the flow of the time-dependent vector field $-V(g(t))$ defined in (1). By its very definition, $(\psi_t^* g(t))_t$ solves the (MRF) with initial condition $g(0)$. This flow is defined on $[0,+\infty)$ since the vector field $-V(g(t))$ is decaying exponentially in time.
- Again, as $-V(g(t))$ is decaying exponentially in time., we have for $0 \leq t \leq s$, and $x \in M$,

  $$d_{g_0}(\psi_t(x),\psi_s(x)) \leq \int_t^s |V(g(u))|_{g_0}(x)du \leq c\int_t^s e^{-\lambda_1 u}du.$$

  where $c = c(n,\lambda,k_0)$ is independent of $x \in M$. Therefore, there exists a continuous map $\psi_\infty : M \to M$ such that

  $$d_{g_0}(\psi_t(x),\psi_\infty(x)) \leq c\int_t^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda_1 u}du.$$

  To prove higher regularity and global C^k convergence, we use the fact that the higher covariant derivatives of the vector field V are exponentially decaying in time uniformly in space.
- Proving that ψ_∞ is actually a diffeomorphism is a bit more involved :
  - ψ_∞ is a local diffeomorphism. Indeed, if $\tilde{g}(t) := \psi_t^* g(t)$ then $(\tilde{g}(t))_t$ solves the (MRF) and $\partial_t\tilde{g}(t)$ decay exponentially in time uniformly in space. Therefore, $\tilde{g}(t)$ smoothly converges to a smooth metric g_∞ on M. In particular, on a fixed geodesic ball for g_0, and t large enough,

    $$\frac{1}{2}g_\infty \leq \psi_t^* g(t) \leq 2\psi_t^* g_0.$$

By letting t go to $+\infty$, we get the result.

– ψ_∞ is bijective. Indeed, it suffices to apply the above arguments to the inverse flow $(\psi_t^{-1})_t$ to prove the existence of a smooth map $\tilde{\psi}_\infty : M \to M$ such that for any x in M, $\tilde{\psi}_\infty \circ \psi_\infty(x) = x = \psi_\infty \circ \tilde{\psi}_\infty(x)$.

□

6. Spectrum of Laplace operators

6.1. **Preliminaries.** Let $(M, g, \nabla f)$ be a steady or an expanding gradient Ricci soliton satisfying $(\mathscr{H})$. Let E be a geometric tensor bundle over M in the sense of [Lee06] : we will only consider the cases where E is either the trivial line bundle over M either the space of symmetric two tensors. We will denote by ${}^fH^p_k(M,E)$ the Banach space of all locally integrable sections h of E such that $\nabla^i h$ is in $L^2(M, E \otimes T^iM)$ for $0 \leq i \leq k$, with the norm

$$ {}^f\|h\|_{k,p} := \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_M |\nabla^i h|^2 d\mu_f \right)^{1/2}.$$

We also denote ${}^f\overset{\circ}{H}{}^p_k(M,E)$ the completion of the set of smooth compactly supported sections of E, $C^\infty_0(M,E)$, in ${}^fH^p_k(M,E)$. Finally, let's introduce the following a priori subspaces of ${}^fH^2_2(M,E)$ as in [Gri09] : ${}^fW^2(M,E)$ denotes the Hilbert space of all locally integrable sections $h \in {}^fH^2_1(M,E)$ of E such that $\Delta_f h$ is in $L^2_f(M,E) = {}^fH^2_0(M,E)$, with the norm

$$ {}^f\|h\|_{W^2} := {}^f\|h\|_{1,2} + {}^f\|\Delta_f h\|_{0,2},$$

and we define

$$ {}^f\overset{\circ}{W}{}^2(M,E) = \left\{ h \in {}^f\overset{\circ}{H}{}^2_1(M,E) \quad | \quad \Delta_f h \in L^2_f(M,E) \right\}$$

equipped with the induced norm denoted by ${}^f\|\cdot\|_{\overset{\circ}{W}{}^2}$.

Firstly, we recall a fundamental result of Grigor'yan [Theorem 4.6 , [Gri09]] on extension of weighted operators on weighted manifolds (we quote it in the case of gradient Ricci solitons) :

Theorem 6.1. *(Grigor'yan) Let $(M, g, \nabla f)$ be a gradient Ricci soliton. Then the operator $-\Delta_f|_{C^\infty_0(M,E)}$ admits a unique self-adjoint extension to ${}^f\overset{\circ}{W}{}^2(M,E)$ whose domain is contained in ${}^f\overset{\circ}{W}{}^2(M,E)$.*

Actually, we are able to precise what is ${}^f\overset{\circ}{W}{}^2(M,E)$:

Proposition 6.2. *Let $(M, g, \nabla f)$ be a steady or an expanding gradient Ricci soliton satisfying $(\mathscr{H})$. Then*

(1) ${}^fH^p_k(M,E) = {}^f\overset{\circ}{H}{}^p_k(M,E)$, for any nonnegative finite integers k, p,

(2) ${}^fW^2(M,E) = {}^f\overset{\circ}{W}{}^2(M,E)$,

(3) ${}^fH^2_2(M,E) = {}^f\overset{\circ}{W}{}^2(M,E)$.

Proof. Let $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ be a smooth function such that $\psi(t) = 1$ if $t \leq 1$ and $\psi(t) = 0$ if $t \geq 2$. Define

$$\phi_k(x) := \psi\left(\frac{f(x)}{k}\right),$$

for $k > 0$ and $x \in M$. Because of $(\mathscr{H})$, ϕ_k is smooth with compact support in $\{f \leq 2k\}$. Moreover, by the soliton identities A.1 and A.2, one can check that $\nabla^i \phi_k$, for $i > 0$, is bounded on M with compact support in $\{k \leq f \leq 2k\}$.

(1) Let $h \in {}^f H^p_k(M,E)$. Then $(\phi_k \cdot h)_k$ converges in ${}^f H^p_k(M,E)$ by the previous remarks. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\phi_k \cdot h$ has compact support. Therefore, by a convolution argument, one can approximate, for each k, $\phi_k \cdot h$ by a smooth compactly supported tensor h_k in the usual unweighted Sobolev space $H^p_k(M,E)$ hence in the weighted Sobolev space ${}^f H^p_k(M,E)$ since the weighted and unweighted norms are uniform on fixed compact sets of M.

(2) The same previous argument applies by using the following remark :

$$\Delta_f \phi_k = \Delta_g \phi_k + \psi' \frac{|\nabla f|^2}{k},$$

is bounded by the soliton identities A.1 and A.2 and has compact support in $\{k \leq f \leq 2k\}$.

(3) Finally, according to what we proved, it suffices to prove ${}^f \overset{\circ}{H}{}^2_2(M,E) = {}^f \overset{\circ}{W}{}^2(M,E)$. This is achieved with the help of Bochner formulas :

$$\Delta_f |\nabla h|^2 = 2|\nabla^2 h|^2 + 2 < \nabla \Delta_f h, \nabla h > + < \nabla \operatorname{Rm}(g) * h + \nabla h * \operatorname{Rm}(g), \nabla h >,$$

for any $h \in C^\infty(M,E)$. If $h \in C^\infty_0(M,E)$, then an integration by part gives :

$${}^f \|\Delta_f h\|_{0,2} = {}^f \|\nabla^2 h\|_{0,2} + {}^f < \nabla \operatorname{Rm}(g) * h + \nabla h * \operatorname{Rm}(g), \nabla h >_{0,2},$$

which gives the expected result since $\operatorname{Rm}(g)$ is bounded by assumption $(\mathscr{H})$ and so is $\nabla \operatorname{Rm}(g)$ by Shi's estimates [Shi89].

□

6.2. **Spectrum of steady gradient Ricci solitons.**

6.2.1. *Spectrum of Δ_f.* We begin by establishing bounds on the bottom of the laplacian Δ_f on a non trivial steady gradient Ricci soliton. We recall first a useful tool to bound the spectrum of a weighted laplacian on a Riemannian manifold from below as soon as a positive eigenfunction exists : this is a simple modification of lemma 7.6 of [Lee06] which uses integration by part only.

Lemma 6.3. *Let (M,g) be a Riemannian manifold endowed with a C^1 potential function f on M. If there exists a positive C^2 function ϕ on M such that $\Delta_f \phi \leq -\lambda \phi$ for a real number λ, then $\lambda_1(\Delta_f) \geq \lambda$.*

The following is a simple adaptation of [MW11b] :

Proposition 6.4. *Let $(M,g,\nabla f)$ be a steady gradient Ricci soliton. Then, for any compactly supported functions ϕ and any $\alpha \in (0,1]$,*

$$\int_M \left(\alpha^2 R + \lambda(g)\alpha(1-\alpha)\right)\phi^2 d\mu_f \leq \int_M |\nabla \phi|^2 d\mu_f.$$

Proof. Indeed, by the soliton identities A.2,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_f(e^{-\alpha f}) &= \left(-\alpha\Delta f - \alpha(1-\alpha)|\nabla f|^2\right)e^{-f} = \left(-\alpha^2\Delta f - \alpha(1-\alpha)\lambda(g)\right)e^{-f}\\ &= -\alpha(R + (1-\alpha)\lambda(g))e^{-\alpha f}.\end{aligned}$$

Then the proposition follows by applying lemma 6.3 to $\phi := e^{-\alpha f}$. □

One can actually prove by hand that the Bryant soliton is strictly stable with the help of proposition 6.4 :

Proposition 6.5. *Let $n \geq 3$. The n-dimensional Bryant soliton is c-stable where $c = c(n, \lambda(g)) > 0$.*

Proof. As in the proof of proposition 6.12, we have, for $n \geq 3$,

$$R|h|^2 - 2\,\mathrm{Rm}(h,h) \geq 2\sqrt{n-1}(\sqrt{n-1}-1)a|h|^2 =: c_1(n)a|h|^2.$$

Hence, by the Hardy inequality 6.4 for $\alpha = 1$,

$$\int_M |\nabla h|^2 - 2\,\mathrm{Rm}(h,h)d\mu_f \quad \geq \quad \int_M c_1(n)a|h|^2 d\mu_f,$$

and for $\alpha = 1/2$,

$$\int_M |\nabla h|^2 - 2\,\mathrm{Rm}(h,h)d\mu_f \quad \geq \quad \int_M \left(\frac{\lambda(g)}{4} - c_2(n)|\,\mathrm{Rm}\,|\right)|h|^2 d\mu_f.$$

Outside a compact set K, $\frac{\lambda(g)}{4} - c_2(n)|\,\mathrm{Rm}\,| \geq \frac{\lambda(g)}{8}$ and $\inf_K a > 0$. Define

$$A := \max\left(0, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\inf_K\left(\frac{\lambda(g)}{4} - c_2(n)|\,\mathrm{Rm}\,|\right)}{\inf_K c_1(n)a}\right).$$

Therefore, by the very definition of A,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_M |\nabla h|^2 - 2\,\mathrm{Rm}(h,h)d\mu_f \geq\\ &\frac{1}{1+A}\int_M \left(\frac{\lambda(g)}{4} - c_2(n)|\,\mathrm{Rm}\,| + Ac_1(n)a\right)|h|^2 d\mu_f\\ &\geq \frac{1}{1+A}\int_K \left(\inf_K\left(\frac{\lambda(g)}{4} - c_2(n)|\,\mathrm{Rm}\,|\right) + A\inf_K c_1(n)a\right)|h|^2 d\mu_f\\ &+\frac{\lambda(g)}{8(1+A)}\int_{M\setminus K}|h|^2 d\mu_f\\ &\geq \frac{1}{1+A}\int_K \frac{\inf_K c_1(n)a}{2}|h|^2 d\mu_f + \frac{\lambda(g)}{8(1+A)}\int_{M\setminus K}|h|^2 d\mu_f\\ &\geq c(n,\lambda(g))\int_M |h|^2 d\mu_f,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$c(n,\lambda(g)) := \min\left(\frac{\inf_K c_1(n)a}{2(1+A)}, \frac{\lambda(g)}{8(1+A)}\right).$$

□

We pursue our investigation of the discrete spectrum of Δ_f on functions. The following identity is a simple adaptation of the arguments of [DG92] :

Lemma 6.6. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold. Let ϕ, ψ and f be smooth functions on M. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{div}_f\left(2 < \nabla_{\nabla\phi}\psi, \nabla\psi > -|\nabla\psi|^2\nabla\phi\right) &= 2 < \nabla_{\nabla\phi}\psi, \Delta_f\psi > \\ &\quad +2\nabla^2\phi(\nabla\psi, \nabla\psi) - |\nabla\psi|^2\Delta_f\phi.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Routine computations. □

The following corollary will not be used for the sequel but is interesting in itself :

Corollary 6.7. *Let $(M, g, \nabla f)$ be a steady gradient Ricci soliton with nonnegative Ricci curvature, and positive Ricci curvature at one point. Then $\sigma_{disc}(\Delta_f) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Assume there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Delta_f\psi = -\lambda\psi$ with $\psi \in L^2_f$. Integrate the identity given by 6.6 to $\phi = f$, and ψ. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M 2\nabla^2 f(\nabla\psi, \nabla\psi)d\mu_f &= \int_M |\nabla\psi|^2\Delta_f f - 2 < \nabla f, \nabla\psi > \Delta_f\psi d\mu_f \\ &= \int_M |\nabla\psi|^2\Delta_f f + 2\lambda < \nabla f, \nabla\psi > \psi d\mu_f \\ &= \int_M |\nabla\psi|^2\Delta_f f + \lambda < \nabla f, \nabla\psi^2 > d\mu_f \\ &= \int_M (|\nabla\psi|^2 - \lambda\psi^2)\Delta_f f \\ &= \lambda(g)\int_M |\nabla\psi|^2 - \lambda\psi^2 d\mu_f \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\nabla\psi = 0$ on a neighborhood of a point in M. As g and f are analytic by [Ban87], ψ is analytic too, hence $\psi \equiv 0$ everywhere. □

6.2.2. *Spectrum of the Lichnerowicz operator.* We first begin by analysing the essential spectrum of the Lichnerowicz operator L when the curvature tends to zero at infinity.

Proposition 6.8. *Let $(M, g, \nabla f)$ be a steady gradient Ricci soliton with curvature going to zero at infinity, i.e.*

$$\lim_{+\infty} |\operatorname{Rm}(g)| = 0.$$

Then $\sigma_{ess}(L) \subset [\lambda_1(\Delta_f), +\infty)$.

Proof. The proof is a straightforward adaptation of lemma 4.10 of [Lee06]. For the convenience of the reader, we will state its precise statement. The next claim gives a sufficient condition to ensure the Fredholm property of $L - \lambda\operatorname{Id}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Claim 1. *$L - \lambda\operatorname{Id} : {}^fH^2_2(M, S^2T^*M) \to {}^fH^2_2(M, S^2T^*M)$ is Fredholm if there exists a compact set $K \subset M$ and a constant $c > 0$ such that the following holds for any $h \in C^\infty_0(M \setminus K, S^2T^*M)$:*

$$c\,{}^f\|h\|_{0,2} \leq {}^f\|(L - \lambda\operatorname{Id})h\|_{0,2}.$$

With the claim 1 in hand, we can now finish the proof of proposition 6.8. Indeed, let λ be any real number strictly less than $\lambda_1(\Delta_f)$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. Take any compact set $K_\epsilon \subset M$ such that $\sup_{M\setminus K_\epsilon} |\operatorname{Rm}(g)| \leq \epsilon$. Then, for any $h \in C_0^\infty(M \setminus K_\epsilon, S^2T^*M)$,

$$ {}^f < (L - \lambda \operatorname{Id})h, h >_{0,2} \geq (\lambda_1(\Delta_f) - \lambda - c(n)\epsilon) \, {}^f < h, h >_{0,2},$$

that is, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$ {}^f\|(L - \lambda \operatorname{Id})h\|_{0,2} \geq (\lambda_1(\Delta_f) - \lambda - \epsilon) \, {}^f\|h\|_{0,2}.$$

Hence the result by invoking the claim 1, if ϵ is sufficiently small. □

We need now to investigate the discrete spectrum of the Lichnerowicz operator. For that purpose, it is necessary to control the decay of an eigenvector of this operator at infinity. This is achieved with a technique initiated by Agmon [Agm82]. The following theorem is an ad-hoc adaptation of his arguments in the setting of Riemannian manifolds. Nonetheless, the presentation is inspired by [LW06].

Theorem 6.9. *(Agmon type estimate) Let $(M, g, \nabla f)$ be a steady gradient Ricci soliton with nonnegative Ricci curvature and bounded curvature normalized such that $\lambda(g) = 1$. Assume $\lim_{+\infty} R = 0$.*

Let $h \in L^2_f(M, S^2T^*M)$ be an eigenvector of L associated to an eigenvalue λ with $\lambda < \lambda_{ess}(L)$, where $\lambda_{ess}(L)$ is the bottom of the essential spectrum of L. Then h has exponential decay. More precisely,

$$e^{\alpha_\epsilon f + f/2} h \in L^\infty(M, S^2T^*M),$$

where $\alpha_\epsilon^2 := \lambda_{ess}(L) - \lambda - \epsilon$, for any ϵ small enough.

Proof. As $\lim_{+\infty} R = 0$ and $\operatorname{Ric} \geq 0$, the function f is proper by lemma A.4.

Claim 2. *$e^{\delta\alpha_\epsilon f} h \in L^2_f$, for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and ϵ positive small enough.*

Proof. Let F be any smooth function on M to be defined later and let ϕ be any Lipschitz function on M with compact support. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M |\nabla(e^F\phi h)|^2 - 2\operatorname{Rm}(g)(e^F\phi h, e^F\phi h) d\mu_f = \\
&\int_M |\nabla(\phi h)|^2 e^{2F} + \phi^2|h|^2|\nabla F|^2 e^{2F} + \frac{1}{2} < \nabla(\phi^2|h|^2), \nabla e^{2F} > d\mu_f \\
&- \int_M 2\operatorname{Rm}(g)(e^F\phi h, e^F\phi h) d\mu_f \\
= \; &- \int_M \langle \phi h, \Delta_f(\phi h) + 2 < \nabla(\phi h), \nabla F > \rangle e^{2F} + \frac{1}{2}\phi^2|h|^2\Delta_f(e^{2F}) - \phi^2|h|^2|\nabla F|^2 e^{2F} d\mu_f \\
&- \int_M 2\operatorname{Rm}(g)(e^F\phi h, e^F\phi h) d\mu_f \\
= \; &\int_M -\langle \phi h, \Delta_f(\phi h)\rangle e^{2F} + \phi^2|h|^2|\nabla F|^2 e^{2F} d\mu_f - \int_M 2\operatorname{Rm}(g)(e^F\phi h, e^F\phi h) d\mu_f \\
= \; &\int_M (\lambda + |\nabla F|^2)\phi^2|h|^2 e^{2F} + \left(< \nabla F, \nabla\phi^2 > + |\nabla\phi|^2\right)|h|^2 e^{2F} d\mu_f.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by definition of $\lambda_{ess}(L)$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a compact $K_\epsilon \subset M$ such that one has the following inequality, for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(M \setminus K_\epsilon)$:

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda_{ess}(L) - \epsilon)\int_M \phi^2 |h|^2 e^{2F} d\mu_f \quad &\leq \quad \int_M (\lambda + |\nabla F|^2)\phi^2 |h|^2 e^{2F} d\mu_f \\ &\quad + \int_M (< \nabla F, \nabla \phi^2 > + |\nabla \phi|^2)|h|^2 e^{2F} d\mu_f.\end{aligned}$$

Fix now a large radius R_0 such that $K_\epsilon \subset \{f \leq R_0\}$. Define $\alpha_\epsilon^2 := \lambda_{ess}(L) - \epsilon - \lambda > 0$, for ϵ small enough. Fix also a number k such that $k \geq (R_0 + 1)(1 + \delta)\alpha_\epsilon$ and a radius R larger than $k/(1 + \delta)\alpha_\epsilon$. Define now on $\{f \geq R_0\}$:

$$F = \begin{cases} \delta\alpha_\epsilon f & \text{if } \alpha_\epsilon f \leq \frac{k}{1+\delta} \\ \\ k - \alpha_\epsilon f & \text{if } \alpha_\epsilon f \geq \frac{k}{1+\delta} \end{cases} \qquad \phi = \begin{cases} f - R_0 & \text{if } R_0 \leq f \leq R_0 + 1 \\ \\ 1 & \text{if } R_0 + 1 \leq f \leq R \\ \\ \frac{2R-f}{R} & \text{if} \quad R \leq f \leq 2R \\ \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Plugging this in the previous inequality lets us to estimate each term :

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{f\geq R_0} |\nabla\phi|^2|h|^2 e^{2F} d\mu_f \leq \int_{R_0\leq f\leq R_0+1} |\nabla f|^2|h|^2 e^{\delta\alpha_\epsilon f} d\mu_f \\ &+\frac{1}{R^2}\int_{R\leq f\leq 2R} |\nabla f|^2|h|^2 e^{2(k-\alpha_\epsilon f)} d\mu_f, \\ &\int_{f\geq R_0} |\nabla F|^2\phi^2|h|^2 e^{2F} d\mu_f \leq \int_{R_0\leq f\leq k/(1+\delta)\alpha_\epsilon} \delta^2\alpha_\epsilon^2|\nabla f|^2\phi^2|h|^2 e^{2\delta\alpha_\epsilon f} d\mu_f \\ &+\int_{k/(1+\delta)\alpha_\epsilon\leq f\leq 2R} \alpha_\epsilon^2|\nabla f|^2\phi^2|h|^2 e^{2(k-\alpha_\epsilon f)} d\mu_f, \\ &2\int_{f\geq R_0} < \nabla F, \nabla\phi > \phi|h|^2 e^{2F} d\mu_f \leq 2\int_{R_0\leq f\leq R_0+1} \delta\alpha_\epsilon|\nabla f|^2|h|^2 e^{2\delta\alpha_\epsilon f} d\mu_f \\ &+\frac{2\alpha_\epsilon}{R}\int_{R\leq f\leq 2R} |\nabla f|^2|h|^2 e^{2(k-\alpha_\epsilon f)} d\mu_f.\end{aligned}$$

Since $|\nabla f|^2 \leq \lambda(g) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{R_0\leq f\leq k/(1+\delta)\alpha_\epsilon} \alpha_\epsilon^2(1-\delta^2)\phi^2|h|^2 e^{2\delta\alpha_\epsilon f} d\mu_f \leq \\ &C(R_0) + \frac{1}{R^2}\int_{R\leq f\leq 2R} |h|^2 e^{k-\alpha_\epsilon f} d\mu_f + \frac{2\alpha_\epsilon c(g)}{R}\int_{R\leq f\leq 2R} |h|^2 e^{2(k-\alpha_\epsilon f)} d\mu_f.\end{aligned}$$

By letting R tend to $+\infty$, we have, for any k sufficiently large,

$$\int_{R_0\leq f\leq k/(1+\delta)\alpha_\epsilon} \alpha_\epsilon^2(1-\delta^2)\phi^2|h|^2 e^{2\delta\alpha_\epsilon f} d\mu_f \leq C(R_0).$$

Hence the result. □

Claim 3. *$e^{\alpha_\epsilon f} h \in L^2_f$, for ϵ positive small enough.*

By the previous claim, one has $e^{\delta\alpha_\epsilon f}h \in L^2_f$, for ϵ positive small enough and $\delta \in [0,1)$. Define δ to be $1-\epsilon$, then $\delta\alpha_\epsilon = \alpha_{N(\epsilon)}$ where $N(\epsilon)$ goes to zero as ϵ tends to zero.

Claim 4. $e^{\alpha_\epsilon f + f/2}h \in L^\infty(M, S^2T^*M)$.

Proof. As in Agmon's book [Agm82], one only needs a local Sobolev inequality for the measure $d\mu_f$ to perform a Moser iteration. As the Ricci curvature is bounded from below, by the results of [SC92], one has the following local Sobolev inequality :

$$\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol} B(x,r)}\int_{B(x,r)} |\phi|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d\mu_g\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq \left(\frac{C(r_0)r^2}{\operatorname{Vol} B(x,r)}\int_{B(x,r)} |\nabla\phi|^2 + r^{-2}\phi^2 d\mu_g\right),$$

for any $\phi \in C^\infty_0(B(x,r))$ for all $x \in M$ and $0 < r < r_0$.

Now, as the oscillation of f is bounded on $B(x,r)$ by a constant depending only on r_0, we have the following local weighted Sobolev inequality :

$$\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}_f B(x,r)}\int_{B(x,r)} |\phi|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d\mu_f\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq \left(\frac{C(r_0)r^2}{\operatorname{Vol}_f B(x,r)}\int_{B(x,r)} |\nabla\phi|^2 + r^{-2}\phi^2 d\mu_f\right),$$

for any $\phi \in C^\infty_0(B(x,r))$ for all $x \in M$ and $0 < r < r_0$, where $\operatorname{Vol}_f B(x,r) := \int_{B(x,r)} d\mu_f$. Now, $|h|$ satisfies, in the weak sense,

$$\Delta_f |h| \geq -c(\lambda, |\operatorname{Rm}(g)|_{L^\infty})|h|.$$

Therefore, by performing a Moser iteration, we have,

$$\sup_{B(x,r/2)} |h| \leq C(\lambda, |\operatorname{Rm}(g)|_\infty, r_0)\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}_f B(x,r)}\int_{B(x,r)} |h|^2 d\mu_f\right)^{1/2}$$

for all $x \in M$ and any $0 < r < r_0$. Using the previous claim, we get,

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{B(x,r/2)} e^{\alpha_\epsilon f + f/2}|h| &\leq C(\lambda, |\operatorname{Rm}(g)|_\infty, r_0, \epsilon)\left(\frac{e^{(1-\epsilon/(2\alpha_0))f(x)}}{\operatorname{Vol}_f B(x,r)}\int_{B(x,r)} |e^{\alpha_{\epsilon/2}f}h|^2 d\mu_f\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C(\lambda, |\operatorname{Rm}(g)|_\infty, r_0, \epsilon)\frac{e^{(1-\epsilon/(2\alpha_0))f(x)}}{\operatorname{Vol}_f B(x,r)}\|e^{\alpha_{\epsilon/2}f}h\|_{L^2_f}.\end{aligned}$$

Now, by the Bishop-Gromov comparison theorem, as the Ricci curvature is non negative,

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Vol}_f B(x,r) &\geq C(r)e^{f(x)}\operatorname{Vol} B(x,r) \\ &\geq C(r)e^{f(x)}\frac{\operatorname{Vol} B(x,r)}{\operatorname{Vol} B(x, r_p(x)+r)}\operatorname{Vol} B(p,r) \\ &\geq C(p,r)e^{f(x)}\left(\frac{r}{r+r_p(x)}\right)^n,\end{aligned}$$

for some point $p \in M$. Since $f \geq c r_p(x)$ outside a compact set, we have

$$\sup_{x\in M}\frac{e^{(1-\epsilon/(2\alpha_0))f(x)}}{\operatorname{Vol}_f B(x,r)} \leq C(p,r,\epsilon,\alpha_0),$$

for some fixed point $p \in M$. Hence the exponential decay of h.

□

□

We are now in a position to prove theorem 1.5 :

Proof of theorem 1.5. Let $h \in L^2_f(M, S^2T^*M)$ be an eigenvector of L associated to an eigenvalue $-\lambda$ with $\lambda \geq 0$. We claim that $h = 0$.

Claim 5. $\sup_{M_{\leq t}} |h| \leq \frac{\lambda(g)}{\inf_{M_t} \mathrm{Ric}} \sup_{M_t} |h|$.

Proof. The following proof is inspired by Brendle's argument in the proof of the Perelman's conjecture [Bre12]. Indeed, since $\Delta_f h + 2\,\mathrm{Rm}(g) * h = \lambda h$ and $\mathscr{L}_{\nabla f}(g) \in \ker L$, we have for any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Delta_f h_\theta + 2\,\mathrm{Rm}(g) * h_\theta = \lambda h_\theta + \theta\lambda\, \mathscr{L}_{\nabla f}(g)$, where $h_\theta := h - \theta\, \mathscr{L}_{\nabla f}(g)$ and where θ is a real number.

Fix $t > \min_M f$. Define $\theta_t := \sup\{\theta / h_\theta|_{M_{\leq t}} \geq 0\}$. Since f is proper, $M_{\leq t} := \{f \leq t\}$ is compact. Therefore θ_t is finite and there exists a point $x \in M_{\leq t}$ and a unitary vector $v \in T_xM$ such that $h_{\theta_t}(x)(v, v) = 0$.

(1) If $x \in M_t := \{f = t\}$ then $h_{\theta_t}(x)(v,v) = 0 = h(x)(v,v) - 2\theta_t\, \mathrm{Ric}(x)(v,v)$. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} |\theta_t| &\leq \frac{\sup_{M_t} |h|}{2 \inf_{M_t} \mathrm{Ric}}, \\ h &\geq -\frac{\sup_{M_t} |h|}{2 \inf_{M_t} \mathrm{Ric}}\, \mathscr{L}_{\nabla f}(g) \geq -\frac{\lambda(g)}{\inf_{M_t} \mathrm{Ric}} \sup_{M_t} |h| g \end{aligned}$$

(2) If $x \in M_{<t}$ and $\theta_t \geq 0$, then $h \geq 0$ on $M_{\leq t}$.

If $\theta_t \leq 0$, define $g(\tau) := \phi_\tau^* g$ and $h_{\theta_t}(\tau) := \phi_\tau^* h_{\theta_t}$ where ϕ_τ is the flow generated by $-\nabla f$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau h_{\theta_t}(\tau) &= \Delta_{L, g(\tau)} h_{\theta_t}(\tau) - \lambda h_{\theta_t}(\tau) - \lambda\theta_t \phi_\tau^*\, \mathscr{L}_{\nabla f}(g) \\ &\geq \Delta_{L, g(\tau)} h_{\theta_t}(\tau) - \lambda h_{\theta_t}(\tau), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_{L, g(\tau)}$ is the Lichnerowicz laplacian associated to the metric $g(\tau)$.

There exists a neighborhood U_x of x and a time $T_x > 0$ such that

$$(U_x, g(\tau))_{\tau \in [-T_x, T_x]} \subset (M_{<t}, g),$$

so that on $(U_x, g(\tau))_{\tau \in [-T_x, T_x]}$, $h_{\theta_t}(\tau) \geq 0$. Since the sectional curvature is non negative, one can adapt a local version of Hamilton's maximum principle for system [Theorem 12.50, [CCG$^+$08]] to establish that $\ker h_{\theta_t}$ is a smooth distribution invariant by parallel translation in the case where $\theta_t \leq 0$. As we assume $\mathrm{Ric} > 0$, the manifold cannot split. In particular, $h_{\theta_t} \equiv 0$ on U_x. As $M_{<t}$ is totally geodesic, $h_{\theta_t} \equiv 0$ on $M_{<t}$. In particular, for any $s < t$,

$$|\theta_t| \leq \frac{\sup_{M_s} |h|}{2 \inf_{M_s} \mathrm{Ric}}.$$

If s tends to t, one has,

$$h \geq -\frac{\lambda(g)}{\inf_{M_t} \mathrm{Ric}} \sup_{M_t} |h| g \quad \text{on } M_{\leq t}.$$

The same reasoning as above applied to $-h$ gives the estimate.

□

Without loss of generality, one can normalize the metric so that $\lambda(g) = 1$: the assumptions made in theorem 1.5 are invariant under scaling. Now, using proposition 6.4 with the normalization $\lambda(g) = 1$ gives $\lambda_{ess}(L) \geq 1/4$, therefore the exponential decay of h given by theorem 6.9 is $e^{-(1-\epsilon)f}$ for any ϵ positive small enough since h is an eigenvector associated to an non positive eigenvalue λ. Now, we use the previous claim together with the assumption on the decay of the Ricci curvature to get $h = 0$. □

6.3. **Spectrum of Expanding gradient Ricci solitons.**

6.3.1. *Spectrum of Δ_f.* This section is devoted to establish bounds on the bottom of the laplacian Δ_f on a non trivial expanding gradient Ricci soliton. The following is due to [MW11b] :

Proposition 6.10. *(Munteanu-Wang) Let $(M, g, \nabla f)$ be an expanding gradient Ricci soliton. Then, for any compactly supported functions ϕ,*

$$\int_M \left(R + \frac{n}{2}\right) \phi^2 d\mu_f \leq \int_M |\nabla \phi|^2 d\mu_f.$$

Proof. The idea is to note that $\Delta_f(e^{-f}) = -\left(R + \frac{n}{2}\right) e^{-f}$ and then one applies lemma 6.3 to $\phi := e^{-f}$. □

Remark 6.11. *Proposition 6.10 shows that $\lambda_1(\Delta_f) \geq n/2$ for any expanding gradient Ricci soliton with non negative scalar curvature. Moreover, one can even show that $\lambda_1(\Delta_f) = n/2$ for an expanding gradient Ricci soliton with non negative scalar curvature if and only if it is isometric to the Euclidean space.*

As in the previous section, one can actually prove by hand that the Bryant expanding gradient Ricci solitons are strictly stable with the help of proposition 6.10 :

Proposition 6.12. *Any rotational symmetric positively curved expanding gradient Ricci soliton satisfies $\lambda_1(\Delta_f) \geq n/2$ for $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let a denote the radial sectional curvatures and let b denote the spherical curvatures. Then,

$$R = 2(n-1)a + (n-1)(n-2)b,$$

and, if h is a symmetric 2-tensor,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Rm}(h,h) := \mathrm{Rm}_{ijji}\, h_{ii} h_{jj} &= 2a h_{\partial r \partial r} \sum_{i \neq \partial r} h_{ii} + b \sum_{i \neq j; i \neq \partial r; j \neq \partial r} h_{ii} h_{jj} \\
&= 2a h_{\partial r \partial r} \sum_{i \neq \partial r} h_{ii} + b \left(\left(\sum_{i \neq \partial r} h_{ii} \right)^2 - \sum_{i \neq \partial r} h_{ii}^2 \right) \\
&\leq 2a |h_{\partial r \partial r}| \sqrt{n-1} \left(\sum_{i \neq \partial r} h_{ii}^2 \right)^{1/2} + (n-2) b \sum_{i \neq \partial r} h_{ii}^2 \\
&\leq \sqrt{n-1} a |h|^2 + (n-2) b \sum_{i \neq \partial r} h_{ii}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} R|h|^2 - 2\,\mathrm{Rm}(h,h) &\geq (2(n-1)a + (n-1)(n-2)b)|h|^2 \\ &\quad -2(\sqrt{n-1}a|h|^2 + (n-2)b\sum_{i\neq \partial r} h_{ii}^2) \\ &\geq 2\sqrt{n-1}(\sqrt{n-1}-1)a|h|^2 + (n-2)(n-3)b\sum_{i\neq\partial r} h_{ii}^2 \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the Hardy inequality 6.10,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |\nabla h|^2 - 2\,\mathrm{Rm}(h,h)d\mu_f &\geq \int_M \left(R + \frac{n}{2}\right)|h|^2 - 2\,\mathrm{Rm}(h,h)d\mu_f \\ &\geq \frac{n}{2}\int_M |h|^2 d\mu_f. \end{aligned}$$

□

6.3.2. *Spectrum of the Lichnerowicz operator of expanding gradient Ricci solitons.* Now, we study both the essential and discrete spectrum of expanding gradient Ricci solitons. The crucial obervation is that L is unitarily conjugate to an harmonic oscillator, i.e. an operator of the type $-\Delta_g + V(g)*$ where $V(g)$ is a potential quadratic in the distance to a fixed point of the manifold. We begin with the essential spectrum :

Proposition 6.13. *Let $(M, g, \nabla f)$ be an expanding gradient Ricci soliton satisfying $(\mathscr{H})$. Then $\sigma_{ess}(L) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Let $h \in C^\infty(M, S^2T^*M)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{f/2}L(e^{-f/2}h) &= -\Delta_g h + \frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta_g f + \frac{|\nabla f|^2}{2}\right)h - 2\,\mathrm{Rm}(g) * h \\ &=: -\Delta_g h + V(g) * h. \end{aligned}$$

Now, as $V(g)$ is equivalent at infinity to $r_p^2(\cdot)$ for $p \in M$, we claim, by using the proof of proposition 6.8 (see also [Theorem $XIII.67$; [RS78]]), that $\sigma_{ess}(-\Delta_g + V(g)*) = \emptyset$ and so is $\sigma_{ess}(L)$.

□

As in section 6.2.2, to investigate the discrete spectrum of the Lichnerowicz operator, it is necessary to control the decay of an eigenvector of this operator at infinity. The following theorem is an adaptation of Agmon's [Agm82] and Barry Simon's [Sim75] arguments in the setting of Riemannian manifolds. Again, the presentation is inspired by [LW06].

Theorem 6.14. *Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian manifold. Let V be a potential acting on a geometric bundle E over M such that there exists a smooth proper function W and $\mu \in (1, +\infty)$ such that $\mu^2|\nabla W|^2 \leq -\Delta + V$ outside a compact set, in the sense of quadratic forms. Assume that $|\nabla W|$ is a proper function and*

$$\limsup_{+\infty} |\nabla W| e^{-W/2} < +\infty.$$

Let h be an L^2-eigenfunction of $-\Delta + V$ with eigenvalue $\lambda \in \sigma_{disc}(-\Delta + V)$. Then,

$$e^{\delta W} h \in L^2,$$

for any $\delta \in [0, \mu)$.

Proof. Since W and $|\nabla W|$ are proper, one can assume that M has one end, without loss of generality.

Let F be any smooth function on M to be defined later and let ϕ be any Lipschitz function on M with compact support. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M |\nabla(e^F\phi h)|^2 + < V * e^F\phi h, \phi h > d\mu_g = \\
&\int_M |\nabla(\phi h)|^2 e^{2F} + |\phi h|^2|\nabla F|^2 e^{2F} d\mu_g + \int_M \frac{1}{2} < \nabla|\phi h|^2, \nabla e^{2F} > + < V * (e^F\phi h), \phi h > d\mu_g \\
&= -\int_M \langle \phi h, \Delta(\phi h) + 2 < \nabla(\phi h), \nabla F > \rangle e^{2F} - \frac{1}{2}|\phi h|^2\Delta(e^{2F}) + |\phi h|^2|\nabla F|^2 e^{2F} d\mu_g \\
&+ \int_M < V * e^F\phi h, \phi h > d\mu_g \\
&= \int_M - < \phi h, \Delta(\phi h) e^{2F} > + |\phi h|^2|\nabla F|^2 e^{2F} d\mu_g + \int_M < V * e^F\phi h, \phi h > d\mu_g \\
&= \int_M (\lambda + |\nabla F|^2)|\phi h|^2 e^{2F} d\mu_g + \int_M (< \nabla F, \nabla\phi^2 > + |\nabla\phi|^2)|h|^2 e^{2F} d\mu_g.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by assumption on $-\Delta + V$, one has the following inequality :

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M \mu^2 |\nabla W|^2 |\phi h|^2 e^{2F} d\mu_g \quad \leq \quad & \int_M (\lambda + |\nabla F|^2)|\phi h|^2 e^{2F} d\mu_g \\
& + \int_M (< \nabla F, \nabla\phi^2 > + |\nabla\phi|^2)|h|^2 e^{2F} d\mu_g.
\end{aligned}$$

Take any $\delta \in [0, \mu)$. Fix now a large radius R_0 such that

$$\liminf_{r_p(x) \geq R_0 + 1} (\mu^2 - \max\{\delta^2, 1\})|\nabla W|^2 - \lambda > 0.$$

Fix also a number K such that $K \geq (R_0 + 1)(1 + \delta)$ and a radius R larger than $K/(1 + \delta)$. Define now on $\{W \geq R_0\}$:

$$F = \begin{cases} \delta W & \text{if } W \leq \frac{K}{1+\delta} \\ K - W & \text{if } W \geq \frac{K}{1+\delta} \end{cases} \qquad \phi = \begin{cases} W - R_0 & \text{if } R_0 \leq W \leq R_0 + 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } R_0 + 1 \leq W \leq R \\ \frac{2R - W}{R} & \text{if } \quad R \leq W \leq 2R \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Plugging this in the previous inequality lets us to estimate each term :

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{W\geq R_0}|\nabla\phi|^2h^2e^{2F}d\mu_g &\leq \int_{R_0\leq W\leq R_0+1}|\nabla W|^2h^2e^{\delta W}d\mu_g \\
&\quad \frac{1}{R^2}\int_{R\leq W\leq 2R}|\nabla W|^2|h|^2e^{K-W}d\mu_g \\
\int_{W\geq R_0}|\nabla F|^2|\phi h|^2e^{2F}d\mu_g &\leq \int_{R_0\leq W\leq K/1+\delta}\delta^2|\nabla W|^2|\phi h|^2e^{2F}d\mu_g \\
&\quad +\int_{K/1+\delta\leq W\leq 2R}|\nabla W|^2|\phi h|^2e^{2F}d\mu_g \\
2\int_{W\geq R_0}<\nabla F,\nabla\phi>\phi|h|^2e^{2F}d\mu_g &\leq 2\int_{R_0\leq W\leq R_0+1}\delta|\nabla W|^2|h|^2e^{2F}d\mu_g \\
&\quad +\frac{2}{R}\int_{R\leq W\leq 2R}|\nabla W|^2|h|^2e^{K-W}d\mu_g.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{R_0\leq W\leq K/1+\delta}((\mu^2-\delta^2)|\nabla W|^2-\lambda)|\phi h|^2e^{2\delta W}d\mu_g \\
&+\int_{K/1+\delta\leq W\leq 2R}((\mu^2-1)|\nabla W|^2-\lambda)|\phi h|^2e^{2F}d\mu_g \leq \\
&\quad C(R_0)+\frac{1}{R^2}\int_{R\leq W\leq 2R}|\nabla W|^2|h|^2e^{K-W}d\mu_g \\
&\quad +\frac{2}{R}\int_{R\leq W\leq 2R}|\nabla W|^2|h|^2e^{K-W}d\mu_g.
\end{aligned}$$

By assumption on the growth of $|\nabla W|$, by letting R tend to $+\infty$, we have, for any K sufficiently large,

$$\int_{R_0\leq W\leq K/1+\delta}((\mu^2-\delta^2)|\nabla W|^2-\lambda)|\phi h|^2e^{2\delta W}d\mu_g\leq C(R_0).$$

Hence the result. □

Corollary 6.15. *Let $(M,g,\nabla f)$ be an expanding gradient Ricci soliton satisfying $(\mathscr{H})$. Let h be an eigenfunction of L associated to an eigenvalue $\lambda\in\sigma_{disc}(L)$. Then*

$$e^{\alpha f/2}h\in L^2_f$$

for any $\alpha\in[0,1)$.

Proof. If h is such an eigenfunction then it satisfies :

$$-\Delta_g(e^{f/2}h)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta_g f+\frac{|\nabla f|^2}{2}\right)(e^{f/2}h)-2\,\mathrm{Rm}(g)*h=\lambda(e^{f/2}h).$$

Now, if

$$V(g):=\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta f+\frac{|\nabla f|^2}{2}\right)-2\,\mathrm{Rm}(g)*,$$

then, by the soliton identities, $-\Delta_g + V(g) \geq \mu^2 |\nabla W|^2$ outside a compact set, where $\mu W := f/2$. By lemma A.1, both f and ∇f are proper. Therefore, by the proposition 6.14, we get that $e^{\delta W}(e^{f/2}h) = e^{(\delta/\mu)f/2}(e^{f/2}h) \in L^2$, for any $\delta/\mu \in [0,1)$. Hence the result.

□

Corollary 6.16. *Let $(M, g, \nabla f)$ be an expanding gradient Ricci soliton satisfying $(\mathscr{H})$. Let h be an eigenfunction of L associated to an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \sigma_{disc}(L)$. Then,*

$$\exp(\alpha f)\, h \in L^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}),$$

for any $\alpha \in [0,1)$.

Proof. If u is such an eigenfunction then it satisfies :

$$-\Delta(e^{f/2}h) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta f + \frac{|\nabla f|^2}{2}\right)(e^{f/2}h) = \lambda(e^{f/2}h).$$

In particular, by the Kato inequality,

$$\Delta(|v|) \geq -\lambda |v|,$$

where v does not vanish, with $v := e^{f/2}h$, outside a compact set. Since the Ricci curvature is bounded from below by $-K$ with K non negative, we can apply the local Sobolev inequality proved in [SC92] to perform a Moser iteration and get :

$$\sup_{B(x,r/2)} |v| \leq C(r_0, \lambda) \left(\fint_{B(x,r)} v^2 d\mu_g \right)^{1/2},$$

for any $x \in M$ and any $0 < r < r_0$. Now, by the Bishop-Gromov theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Vol} B(x,r) &\geq c(n,K) e^{-c(n,K) r_p(x)} \operatorname{Vol} B(x, r + r_p(x)) \\ &\geq c(n,K) e^{-c(n,K) r_p(x)} \operatorname{Vol} B(p,r) \geq c(n,K,p,r) e^{-c(n,K)\sqrt{f(x)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $\epsilon > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0,1)$, then, by corollary 6.15,

$$\sup_{B(x,r/2)} e^{(1-\epsilon)\alpha f} |v| \leq C e^{c(n,K)\sqrt{f(x)}} \| e^{\alpha f} v \|_{L^2} e^{\alpha(1-\epsilon)\sup_{B(x,r)} f - \alpha \inf_{B(x,r)} f},$$

where $C := C(n, K, p, r, r_0, \lambda)$. Finally, observe that,

$$(1-\epsilon) \sup_{B(x,r)} f - \inf_{B(x,r)} f \leq (2+\epsilon)\sqrt{f(x)} - \epsilon f(x) \leq -\frac{\epsilon}{2} f(x) + c(\epsilon),$$

since $|\nabla(2\sqrt{f})| \leq 1$ on M by the soliton identities A.1.

Since f behaves like r_p^2, we have : $e^{\alpha(1-\epsilon)f} v \in L^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$.

□

Remark 6.17. *The previous corollary is in sharp contrast with the shrinking case : in that case, at least heuristically, the eigenfunctions behave like polynomials since the weighted laplacian is the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator.*

6.3.3. *Proof of theorem 1.8.* Now, we are in a position to prove theorem 1.8 :

Proof of theorem 1.8. Let $h \in L^2_f(M, S^2T^*M)$ be an eigenvector of L associated to an eigenvalue $-\lambda$ with $\lambda \geq 0$. We claim that $h = 0$.

Claim 6. $\sup_{M_{\leq t}} |h| \leq (1 + 2\sup_{M_{\leq t}} R) \sup_{M_t} |h|$.

Proof. Indeed, since $\Delta_f h + 2\,\mathrm{Rm}(g) * h = \lambda h$ and $\mathscr{L}_{\nabla f}(g) \in \ker L$, we have for any $\theta_t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Delta_f h_{\theta_t} + 2\,\mathrm{Rm}(g) * h_{\theta_t} = \lambda h_{\theta_t} + \theta_t \lambda\, \mathscr{L}_{\nabla f}(g)$, where $h_{\theta_t} = \lambda h_{\theta_t} + \theta_t \lambda\, \mathscr{L}_{\nabla f}(g)$.

Fix $t > \min_M f$. Define $\theta_t := \sup\{\theta / h_\theta := h - \theta\, \mathscr{L}_{\nabla f}(g)|_{M_{\leq t}} \geq 0\}$. Since f is proper, $M_{\leq t}$ is compact. Therefore θ_t is finite and there exists a point $x \in M_{\leq t}$ and a unitary vector $v \in T_xM$ such that $h_{\theta_t}(x)(v, v) = 0$.

(1) If $x \in M_t$ then $h_{\theta_t}(x)(v, v) = 0 = h(x)(v, v) - \theta_t(1 + 2\,\mathrm{Ric}(x)(v, v))$. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} |\theta_t| &\leq \frac{\sup_{M_t} |h|}{1 + 2\inf_{M_t} \mathrm{Ric}}, \\ h &\geq -\frac{\sup_{M_t} |h|}{1 + 2\inf_{M_t} \mathrm{Ric}}\, \mathscr{L}_{\nabla f}(g) \geq -(1 + 2\sup_{M_{\leq t}} R) \sup_{M_t} |h| g \end{aligned}$$

(2) If $x \in M_{<t}$ and $\theta_t \geq 0$, then $h \geq 0$ on $M_{\leq t}$.

If $\theta_t \leq 0$, define $g(\tau) := (1 + \tau)\phi_\tau^* g$ and $h_{\theta_t}(\tau) := (1 + \tau)\phi_\tau^* h_{\theta_t}$ where ϕ_τ is the flow generated by $-\nabla f/(1 + \tau)$, then, if $\theta_t \leq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau h_{\theta_t}(\tau) &= \Delta_{L,g(\tau)} h_{\theta_t}(\tau) - \frac{\lambda h_{\theta_t}(\tau)}{1 + \tau} - \lambda \theta_t \phi_\tau^*\, \mathscr{L}_{\nabla f}(g) \\ &\geq \Delta_{L,g(\tau)} h_{\theta_t}(\tau) - \frac{\lambda h_{\theta_t}(\tau)}{1 + \tau}. \end{aligned}$$

There exists a neighborhood U_x of x and a time $T_x > 0$ such that

$$(U_x, g(\tau))_{\tau \in [-T_x, T_x]} \subset (M_{<t}, g),$$

so that on $(U_x, g(\tau))_{\tau \in [-T_x, T_x]}$, $h_{\theta_t}(\tau) \geq 0$. Since the sectional curvature is non negative, one can adapt a local version of Hamilton's maximum principle for system [Theorem 12.50, [CCG$^+$08]] to establish that $\ker h_{\theta_t}$ is a smooth distribution invariant by parallel translation in the case where $\theta_t \leq 0$. As we assume $\mathrm{Ric} > 0$, the manifold cannot split. In particular, $h_{\theta_t} \equiv 0$ on U_x. As $M_{<t}$ is totally geodesic, $h_{\theta_t} \equiv 0$ on $M_{<t}$. In particular, for any $s < t$,

$$|\theta_t| \leq \sup_{M_s} |h|.$$

If s tends to t, one has,

$$h \geq -(1 + 2\sup_{M_{\leq t}} R) \sup_{M_t} |h| g \quad \text{on } M_{\leq t}.$$

The same reasoning as above applied to $-h$ gives the estimate.

□

Now, a simple modification of the arguments of the proof of corollary 6.16 applied to symmetric 2-tensors implies an exponential decay of h. By the previous claim, we get $h = 0$. □

6.3.4. *Proof of theorems 1.9 and 1.12.* First, we turn to the proof of theorem 1.9.

We need an a priori estimate for symmetric 2-tensors satisfying $Lh = \lambda h$. This is a simple adaptation of an argument due to Anderson and Chow which is very particular to the structure of the Riemann tensor in dimension 3.

Theorem 6.18 (Anderson-Chow)**.** *Let $(M^3, g, \nabla f)$ be an expanding gradient Ricci soliton with positive scalar curvature satisfying $(\mathscr{H})$. Let h be a symmetric 2-tensor on M^3 such that $\Delta_f(h) + 2\,\mathrm{Rm}(g) * h = -\lambda h$ for some real number $\lambda \leq 1$. Then,*

$$\sup_{f \leq t} \left(\frac{|h|}{R} \right) = \sup_{f=t} \left(\frac{|h|}{R} \right),$$

for t large enough.

Proof. Indeed, by adapting the computations of [AC05], one has,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_f \left(\frac{|h|^2}{R^2} \right) &= \frac{2}{R^4} |R\nabla h - \nabla R h|^2 + 2(-\lambda + 1) \left(\frac{|h|^2}{R^2} \right) - 2 \left\langle \nabla \ln R, \nabla \left(\frac{|h|^2}{R^2} \right) \right\rangle \\ &\quad + 4 \left(|h|^2 |\,\mathrm{Ric}\,|^2 - 2R\,\mathrm{tr}\,h < \mathrm{Ric}, h > + 2R < \mathrm{Ric}, h^2 > + \frac{R^2}{2} \left((\mathrm{tr}\,h)^2 - |h|^2 \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In [AC05], it is shown that the last term is always nonnegative for any symmetric 2-tensor h in dimension 3. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_f \left(\frac{|h|^2}{R^2} \right) &\geq 2(-\lambda + 1) \left(\frac{|h|^2}{R^2} \right) - 2 \left\langle \nabla \ln R, \nabla \left(\frac{|h|^2}{R^2} \right) \right\rangle \\ &\geq -2 \left\langle \nabla \ln R, \nabla \left(\frac{|h|^2}{R^2} \right) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The assumption $(\mathscr{H})$ is only used to ensure the properness of the potential function f. By applying the maximum principle to the domain $\{f \leq t\}$ for sufficiently large t such that $\{f = t\}$ is a smooth compact hypersurface, we get the result. □

Proof of theorem 1.9. We will actually prove that $\sigma_{disc}(L) \subset (1, +\infty)$. Indeed, if h is an eigenvector in L^2_f of L associated to an eigenvalue $\lambda \leq 1$, then on the one hand, by corollary 6.16, $\exp(\alpha f)\, h \in L^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ for any $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and on the other hand, by theorem 6.18,

$$\sup_{f \leq t} \left(\frac{|h|}{R} \right) = \sup_{f=t} \left(\frac{|h|}{R} \right).$$

Therefore, by assumption on the asymptotical behaviour of the scalar curvature, we get $\sup_{f=t} \left(\frac{|h|}{R} \right) \to 0$ as t goes to $+\infty$. Hence $h = 0$. □

Now comes the proof of theorem 1.12 :

Proof of theorem 1.12. Let h be an eigenvector in L^2_f of L associated to an eigenvalue $\lambda \leq 0$. Then,

$$\Delta_f |h|^2 = 2|\nabla h|^2 - 2\lambda |h|^2 - 4 < \mathrm{Rm}(g) * h, h > .$$

By integrating this inequality, and by using the Kato inequality and the bound on the spectrum of the laplacian acting on functions given by proposition 6.10, one gets,

$$\int_M \left(\inf_M R + \frac{n}{2} - 2\| \operatorname{Rm}(g)\|_{L^\infty} \right) |h|^2 d\mu_f \leq \lambda \|h\|^2_{L^2_f} \leq 0.$$

By the very assumption made on the curvature tensor, one gets $h = 0$.

□

Appendix A. Appendix

A.1. **Identities on gradient Ricci solitons.** We recall some classical identities on expanding and steady gradient Ricci solitons. See for instance [Chap.4,[CLN06]] for a proof of the following lemmata.

Lemma A.1. *Let $(M, g, \nabla f)$ be a normalized expanding gradient Ricci soliton, i.e. $\int_M e^{-f} d\mu(g) = 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta f = R + \frac{n}{2}, \\ &|\nabla f|^2 + R = f + \mu(g), \\ &2\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f) + \nabla R = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $R = R(g)$ is the scalar curvature and $\mu(g)$ is called the entropy of the expanding gradient Ricci soliton.

Lemma A.2. *Let $(M, g, \nabla f)$ be a steady gradient Ricci soliton. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta f = R, \\ &|\nabla f|^2 + R = \lambda(g), \\ &2\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f) + \nabla R = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda(g)$ is the energy of the steady gradient Ricci soliton.

Remark A.3. *A steady gradient Ricci soliton is not normalized by its definition. Sometimes, we do so by normalizing the energy $\lambda(g)$ to be 1.*

A.2. **Growth of the potential function.**

Lemma A.4. *(1) Let $(M, g, \nabla f)$ be a steady gradient Ricci soliton with nonnegative Ricci curvature such that $\lim_{+\infty} R = 0$. Then the potential function f is proper and satisfies*

$$c_1 r_p(x) + c_2 \leq f(x) \leq c_3 r_p(x) + c_4, \tag{3}$$

for any fixed point $p \in M$ and positive constants $(c_i)_i$ depending on p and g.

(2) Let $(M, g, \nabla f)$ be an expanding gradient Ricci soliton satisfying $\nabla^2 f \geq cg$ for a positive constant c. Then f is proper and satisfies

$$c_1 r_p^2(x) + c_2 \leq f(x) \leq c_3 r_p^2(x) + c_4, \tag{4}$$

for any fixed point $p \in M$ and positive constants $(c_i)_i$ depending on p and g.

The proof of (3) can be found in [CN08] and the proof of the growth of the potential function on expanding gradient Ricci solitons is proved at least in [CD11].

A.3. **Equivalence of flows.**

Lemma A.5. *The Ricci flow and the modified Ricci flow are equivalent in the following sense :*

1) If $(M, g(t))_{t\in[0,T)}$ *is a solution to (MRF) then* $(M, \tilde{g}(t))_{t\in[0,\tilde{T})}$ *is a solution to the Ricci flow where*

$$\tilde{g}(t) := (1+t)\phi_t^* g(\ln(1+t)),$$

where $(\phi_t)_t$ *is the one parameter subgroup of diffeomorphisms generated by the time-dependent vector field* $-X^0/(1+t)$ *and* $\tilde{T} := e^T - 1$.

2) And vice-versa.

References

[AC05] Greg Anderson and Bennett Chow. A pinching estimate for solutions of the linearized Ricci flow system on 3-manifolds. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 23(1):1–12, 2005.

[Ach12] A. G. Ache. On the uniqueness of asymptotic limits of the Ricci flow. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2012.

[Agm82] Shmuel Agmon. *Lectures on exponential decay of solutions of second-order elliptic equations: bounds on eigenfunctions of N-body Schrödinger operators*, volume 29 of *Mathematical Notes*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1982.

[Bam10] R. H Bamler. Stability of symmetric spaces of noncompact type under Ricci flow. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2010.

[Ban87] Shigetoshi Bando. Real analyticity of solutions of Hamilton's equation. *Math. Z.*, 195(1):93–97, 1987.

[Bre12] S. Brendle. Rotational symmetry of self-similar solutions to the Ricci flow. *ArXiv e-prints*, February 2012.

[CCG+07] Bennett Chow, Sun-Chin Chu, David Glickenstein, Christine Guenther, James Isenberg, Tom Ivey, Dan Knopf, Peng Lu, Feng Luo, and Lei Ni. *The Ricci flow: techniques and applications. Part I*, volume 135 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007. Geometric aspects.

[CCG+08] Bennett Chow, Sun-Chin Chu, David Glickenstein, Christine Guenther, James Isenberg, Tom Ivey, Dan Knopf, Peng Lu, Feng Luo, and Lei Ni. *The Ricci flow: techniques and applications. Part II*, volume 144 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008. Analytic aspects.

[CD11] C.-W. Chen and A. Deruelle. Structure at infinity of expanding gradient Ricci soliton. *ArXiv e-prints*, August 2011.

[Cho13] O. Chodosh. Expanding Ricci Solitons Asymptotic to Cones. *ArXiv e-prints*, March 2013.

[CLN06] Bennett Chow, Peng Lu, and Lei Ni. *Hamilton's Ricci flow*, volume 77 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.

[CN08] J. Carrillo and L. Ni. Sharp logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on gradient solitons and applications. *ArXiv e-prints*, June 2008.

[Der12] A. Deruelle. Steady gradient ricci soliton with curvature in L^1. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 20(1):31–53, 2012.

[Der14] A. Deruelle. Moduli spaces of non negatively curved expanding gradient ricci solitons. *In preparation*, 2014.

[DG92] Harold Donnelly and Nicola Garofalo. Riemannian manifolds whose Laplacians have purely continuous spectrum. *Math. Ann.*, 293(1):143–161, 1992.

[Gri09] Alexander Grigor'yan. *Heat kernel and analysis on manifolds*, volume 47 of *AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; International Press, Boston, MA, 2009.

[HM13] R. Haslhofer and R. Müller. Dynamical stability and instability of Ricci-flat metrics. *ArXiv e-prints*, January 2013.

[Koi82] Norihito Koiso. Rigidity and infinitesimal deformability of Einstein metrics. *Osaka J. Math.*, 19(3):643–668, 1982.

[Kro14] K. Kroncke. Stability and instability of Ricci solitons. *ArXiv e-prints*, March 2014.

[Lee06] John M. Lee. Fredholm operators and Einstein metrics on conformally compact manifolds. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 183(864):vi+83, 2006.

[LW06] Peter Li and Jiaping Wang. Weighted Poincaré inequality and rigidity of complete manifolds. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 39(6):921–982, 2006.

[MW11a] L. Ma and I. Witt. Stability of Hamilton's cigar soliton. *ArXiv e-prints*, December 2011.

[MW11b] O. Munteanu and J. Wang. Analysis of the weighted Laplacian and applications to Ricci solitons. *submitted*, 2011.

[MW11c] Ovidiu Munteanu and Mu-Tao Wang. The curvature of gradient Ricci solitons. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 18(6):1051–1069, 2011.

[RS78] Michael Reed and Barry Simon. *Methods of modern mathematical physics. IV. Analysis of operators.* Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1978.

[SC92] Laurent Saloff-Coste. Uniformly elliptic operators on Riemannian manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 36(2):417–450, 1992.

[Shi89] Wan-Xiong Shi. Deforming the metric on complete Riemannian manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 30(1):223–301, 1989.

[Sie13] Michael Siepmann. Ricci flow of ricci flat cones. Master's thesis, ETH, 2013.

[Sim75] Barry Simon. Pointwise bounds on eigenfunctions and wave packets in N-body quantum systems. III. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 208:317–329, 1975.

[Sim02] Miles Simon. Deformation of C^0 Riemannian metrics in the direction of their Ricci curvature. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 10(5):1033–1074, 2002.

[SSS11] Oliver C. Schnürer, Felix Schulze, and Miles Simon. Stability of hyperbolic space under Ricci flow. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 19(5):1023–1047, 2011.

[Zha08] Z.-H. Zhang. On the Completeness of Gradient Ricci Solitons. *ArXiv e-prints*, July 2008.

(Alix Deruelle) Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Rd, Coventry, West Midlands CV4 7AL

E-mail address: A.Deruelle@warwick.ac.uk